Educational Quest: An Int. J. of Education and Applied Social Sciences: Vol. 16, No. 02, pp. 163-169, August 2025
DOI: 10.30954/2230-7311.2.2025.8
Peer Reviewed Journal

Quarter System Academic Calendar (QSAC) for the Indian Higher Education System: A Strategic Framework for Academic Excellence and Global Integration

Srilatha Chepure* and Ramesh, N.

Department of ECE, School of Engineering, Aurora Deemed University, Hyderabad, India

*Corresponding author: drsrilatha@aurora.in

Received: 14-05-2025 **Revised:** 05-07-2025 **Accepted:** 26-07-2025

ABSTRACT

The Quarter System Academic Calendar (QSAC) presents a transformative approach to restructuring higher education in India, addressing the limitations of the traditional semester-based system. The semester model, while providing structured academic planning, lacks flexibility, interdisciplinary integration, and industry alignment, making it less adaptable to the evolving demands of modern education and the objectives outlined in the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020. QSAC introduces a four-term academic framework, ensuring continuous learning, modular credit-based education, experiential learning, and competency-driven assessments. This study explores the feasibility and impact of QSAC in the Indian higher education landscape through a structured implementation at Aurora University. The research examines key dimensions such as student engagement, academic performance, faculty productivity, industry collaboration, and institutional efficiency. The findings suggest that QSAC enhances student learning outcomes, fosters industry-driven education, and optimizes faculty workload, positioning it as a globally competitive academic framework. The study emphasizes the need for policy-level integration, curriculum restructuring, and wider institutional adoption of QSAC to align with NEP-2020's vision of a flexible, multidisciplinary, and employability-driven education system. The results of the study indicate that QSAC has the potential to enhance student success, improve employability, and strengthen academia-industry partnerships. The paper concludes with recommendations for Nationwide implementation, curriculum redesign, faculty training, and regulatory alignment to facilitate a seamless transition toward a more dynamic and globally recognized academic calendar.

Keywords: Quarter System Academic Calendar, National Education Policy, higher education

An academic calendar is a structured schedule that outlines the timeline of academic activities within an educational institution over an academic year. It serves as a guiding framework that defines the commencement and conclusion of academic terms, course instruction periods, examination schedules, holidays, and co-curricular activities. The academic calendar ensures systematic curriculum planning, helping institutions allocate teaching weeks, schedule examinations. It provides students, faculty, and administrators with a clear roadmap for academic progression, allowing them to plan coursework, and examinations effectively. Across the globe, academic calendars vary in structure, with models such as semester-based, trimester-based, quarter-based, and modular credit-based systems, each designed to optimize learning efficiency, faculty workload management, and student engagement.

In India, the academic calendar is primarily

How to cite this article: Chepure, S. and Ramesh, N. (2025). Quarter System Academic Calendar (QSAC) for the Indian Higher Education System: A Strategic Framework for Academic Excellence and Global Integration. *Educational Quest: An Int. J. Edu. Appl. Soc. Sci.*, **16**(02): 163-169.

Source of Support: None; **Conflict of Interest:** None

governed by universities, colleges, and higher education regulatory bodies such as the All India Council for Technical Education (AICTE). The Indian higher education system predominantly follows a semester-based academic structure, dividing the academic year into two structured terms of 16-18 weeks each, typically running from July to December (Odd Semester) and January to June (Even Semester). This system provides a standardized approach to curriculum delivery, ensuring students complete a fixed number of credits per semester through a blend of lectures, practical sessions, and examinations. The academic calendar also incorporates mid-semester breaks, National and regional holidays, preparatory periods before final exams, and designated internship window. While the semester system offers consistency and organized academic planning, its rigid scheduling often limits flexibility for modular learning, interdisciplinary coursework, and continuous industry collaboration.

NEP-2020: A Vision for Transforming Indian Higher Education

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 envisions a transformative shift in Indian higher education, aiming to create a flexible, multidisciplinary, and globally competitive academic ecosystem. One of its key objectives is to move away from rigid academic structures and introduce a more holistic and modular approach to learning, allowing students to pursue diverse disciplines, skill-based certifications, and industry-integrated education. NEP-2020 emphasizes the importance of multiple entry and exit points, enabling students to earn certificates, diplomas, and degrees at different stages of their academic journey, thus promoting lifelong learning. The policy also highlights the need for experiential and competency-based education, encouraging institutions to integrate internships, apprenticeships, and research opportunities within the academic framework. By advocating for credit-based learning, digital education, and flexible curriculum design, NEP-2020 aims to enhance student mobility across institutions and disciplines, aligning India's higher education with international standards. Furthermore, the policy underscores the importance of industry collaboration, ensuring that graduates are equipped with practical knowledge and employable skills rather than just theoretical expertise. Through these progressive reforms, NEP-2020 aspires to make India a global knowledge hub, foster innovation and entrepreneurship, and bridge the gap between academia and industry.

Limitations of the Semester Calendar

The semester system has long been the foundation of Indian higher education, providing a structured and standardized approach to curriculum delivery and examinations. While it ensures uniformity and systematic academic planning, it lacks the agility and flexibility required to align with the transformative vision of NEP-2020. The rigid biannual structure, which mandates that students follow predefined courses within fixed 16-18 week terms, significantly restricts multidisciplinary learning, modular credit-based education, and flexible academic pathways. NEP-2020 envisions a dynamic higher education system where students can earn certifications, diplomas, or degrees at different stages, allowing for multiple entry and exit points. However, the semester-based calendar does not support seamless transitions between disciplines, institutions, or skill-based courses, limiting students' ability to pursue diverse learning trajectories.

Another major limitation is the lack of industry integration and real-world learning opportunities. The semester system follows a fixed internship window (primarily during summer break), which does not align with the continuously evolving demands of the industry. NEP-2020 promotes competency-based and experiential learning, emphasizing the need for students to engage in internships, apprenticeships, research projects, and industry collaborations throughout their academic journey. However, the semester model compartmentalizes theoretical learning and practical exposure, making it difficult to embed hands-on experiences into the academic structure. As a result, students graduate with limited industry experience and weaker employability skills, while faculty members are burdened with strict teaching schedules, reducing their ability to participate in interdisciplinary research, industry projects, and student mentoring. Additionally, assessment methods under the semester system are exam-centric, relying heavily on mid-term and final examinations, which promote rote learning rather

than continuous skill development. In contrast, NEP-2020 calls for frequent, low-stakes continuous assessment that emphasize problem-solving, critical thinking, and real-world application of knowledge.

Given these constraints, it is clear that the existing semester system is not equipped to fulfill the key objectives of NEP-2020. For India's higher education system to remain globally competitive, there is an urgent need to reform the academic calendar to support more flexible course structures and enhanced real-world application of knowledge. As global education models continue to evolve, there is an increasing emphasis on flexibility, interdisciplinary learning, and industry integration within academic structures. Many leading universities worldwide have transitioned to dynamic academic frameworks, such as the Quarter System Calendar to better align with emerging industry demands and global competency-based education. It offers shorter academic terms with frequent assessment cycles, allowing students to engage in a diverse range of subjects, skill-based certifications, and industry-linked experiential learning.

Literature Survey

A significant research is being carried out to explore the effectiveness of the quarter system compared to the semester system in higher education. Various empirical studies indicate that the quarter system offers advantages in student retention, academic progression, interdisciplinary learning, and industry collaboration. Anderson & Neuman (2010) conducted a study comparing student retention rates in quarter and semester systems. Their findings indicate that students in quarter-based institutions had higher retention rates (78%) compared to semester-based institutions (68%). The researchers attributed this to shorter learning cycles, which reduced student burnout and improved engagement levels. Wilson & Ross (2015) examined the impact of academic term length on student performance in universities across the United States, Canada, and Japan. The study found that students in quarter systems adapted better to coursework intensity and demonstrated higher completion rates, as they were exposed to frequent but manageable academic assessments.

Brint *et al.* (2013) conducted a large-scale study across 500 universities in North America and found that institutions using quarter systems produced graduates 6-12 months faster than those following the semester system. The study emphasized that the quarter model enables students to complete more courses per year, accelerating their academic progression while maintaining high educational quality. National Student Clearinghouse Research Center (2019) analyzed graduation rates across different academic term structures and concluded that quarter system students had a 12% higher likelihood of graduating on time than their semester-based peers. The report highlighted that the quarter model reduces course failure repetition rates, as students have more opportunities to retake failed courses within the same academic year.

The OECD Education Report (2022) analyzed higher education models in Europe, North America, and Asia, concluding that universities using the quarter system had higher industry collaboration rates than those following the semester model. The shorter academic terms in the quarter system allowed for more frequent industry engagement through internships and apprenticeships, leading to better employability outcomes for graduates. Zhao & Kuh (2018) examined workforce readiness among students from different academic structures and found that quarter system graduates scored 20% higher in workplace adaptability tests due to their exposure to diverse learning experiences in a shorter timeframe. The study suggested that frequent learning cycles in the quarter system help students develop problem-solving skills faster, making them more industry-ready. Association of American Colleges & Universities (AAC&U) Report (2021) observed that quarter system institutions had a 30% higher rate of co-op and internship participation, as students were able to engage in real-world projects multiple times during their degree program.

Boyer & Rice (2016) studied faculty workload distribution across semester and quarter systems and found that quarter system faculty had more opportunities to engage in research due to the balanced teaching schedules. The study also indicated that faculty members in quarter-based institutions published 15-20% more research papers annually, as they had dedicated non-teaching periods within the academic year. Henderson & Gibbons (2020) compared faculty burnout levels in different academic term structures and found

that quarter system professors reported lower stress levels due to the shorter yet focused teaching periods, which allowed them to balance teaching, research, and administrative responsibilities more effectively.

Cambridge University Research Initiative (2020) examined student learning patterns in semester vs. quarter systems and found that students in quarter-based institutions retained 25% more course material due to frequent but shorter assessment periods. The study concluded that the quarter system enables better knowledge reinforcement and application-based learning. Garcia & Mitchell (2021) analyzed the impact of the academic calendar on interdisciplinary studies and discovered that students in quarter systems were more likely to take courses outside their primary discipline, fostering multidisciplinary learning and innovation. The study linked this trend to the greater flexibility offered by quarter-based structures, where students can explore diverse subjects without disrupting their core academic progression.

The literature survey clearly states that quarter system offers significant advantages over the semester model in student retention, academic performance, graduation rates, industry collaboration, faculty productivity, and interdisciplinary learning. The research highlights that quarter-based institutions enable faster degree completion, increased industry engagement, and greater academic flexibility, making them better suited for modern higher education frameworks. These empirical findings strongly support the argument for transitioning Indian higher education towards quarter system to enhance student success, faculty research, and industry integration.

Proposed Quarter System Academic Calendar (QSAC)

The QSAC introduces a structured yet flexible academic framework that divides the academic year into four terms, each lasting 13 weeks, ensuring a balanced and continuous learning experience. Unlike the traditional semester system, QSAC is designed to enhance student engagement, industry readiness, and academic progression through structured instruction, experiential learning, co-curricular activities, and continuous assessment cycles. The first three terms focus on classroom and laboratory learning, while the fourth term is dedicated to experiential learning, allowing students to engage in internships, industry projects, research, and hands-on applications of theoretical knowledge. The academic year spans 52 weeks, integrating instructional coursework, structured assessments, industry collaborations, and student-led activities while maintaining sufficient breaks to support faculty development, academic planning, and student well-being. The following is the proposed calendar model for Indian Universities:

Term	Duration	Focus
Term I	July-September	Core academic courses, foundational learning, student-led technical activity
Term II	October - December	Advanced coursework, deeper conceptual understanding, festival holidays
Term III	January - March	Research-based learning, skill enhancement, student-led enrichment activity
Term IV	April - June	Experiential learning, internships, research projects, industry collaborations

The following are the Key Components of the QSAC:

(i) Instructional Weeks

The instructional weeks are dedicated to classroom learning, laboratory sessions, discussions, and guided study, ensuring a structured and immersive academic experience. During this period, faculty members deliver lectures, conduct practical sessions, facilitate course projects, and guide reflective learning experiences to enhance conceptual clarity and skill development. A key feature of the instructional phase is the integration of theoretical instruction with practical application, achieved through laboratory experiments, case studies, and project-based learning, allowing students to develop a deeper understanding of subject matter. To ensure comprehensive syllabus coverage, the first three terms (Term I, II, and III) each include 10 weeks of structured instruction, providing a well-balanced and intensive academic engagement for students.

(ii) Experiential Learning Weeks

Term IV (April – June) is exclusively dedicated to experiential learning, providing students with the opportunity to apply their theoretical knowledge

in real-world settings. During this term, students actively engage in internships, industry projects, research work, study abroad programs, workshops, and training programs, gaining hands-on experience in their respective fields. This structured approach bridges the gap between academic learning and practical application, encouraging students to develop problem-solving abilities, creativity, and industry-relevant skills. By focusing on innovation, applied learning, and professional exposure, Term IV enhances employability, prepares students for industry challenges, and fosters a culture of continuous learning and professional development.

(iii) Student-Led Activity Weeks

The Student-Led Activity Weeks are designed to encourage leadership, teamwork, creativity, and holistic personal development among students. These dedicated weeks provide a platform for students to organize, participate in, and lead various co-curricular and extracurricular activities, enhancing their interpersonal and professional skills. Each academic year includes two student-led activity weeks—one focused on technical engagements and the other on sports and cultural activities. During these weeks, students actively participate in clubs, societies, hackathons, innovation challenges, entrepreneurial ventures, and community service programs, fostering an environment of experiential learning beyond the classroom. This initiative promotes independent decision-making, collaboration, and initiative-taking, preparing students for leadership roles within and beyond the university setting.

(iv) Festival Weeks

The Festival Weeks are strategically incorporated into the academic calendar to ensure that cultural and religious celebrations do not disrupt the academic schedule, while still allowing students and faculty to actively participate in festivities. Recognizing the significance of traditional celebrations, the academic year includes two major Indian festival holidays—Sankranti and Dussehra—each lasting one week, scheduled in Term II and Term III respectively. This structured approach maintains a balance between academic continuity and cultural engagement, ensuring that students can celebrate important festivals without compromising their coursework and learning commitments.

(v) Assessment Weeks

Each of the first three terms (Term I, II, and III) concludes with a dedicated assessment week, ensuring a structured evaluation of student progress and learning outcomes. During this period, students are assessed through projects, presentations, and competency-based evaluations, which emphasize conceptual understanding and practical application rather than memorization. This approach moves away from traditional rote-based examinations, fostering a culture of application-based learning, critical thinking, and continuous assessment. By integrating multiple forms of evaluation, the assessment weeks provide students with timely feedback, allowing them to improve their skills and gain deeper insights into their academic and professional development.

(vi) Term Break Weeks

The Term Break Weeks serve as essential transition periods, allowing students to engage in reflection, self-study, and preparation for upcoming coursework. These breaks provide students with the opportunity to consolidate their learning, review concepts, and explore independent research or skill-building activities. To ensure a smooth academic progression, one-week term breaks are included after Term I and Term II, facilitating faculty preparation, academic planning, and student transition to the next phase of their studies.

At the conclusion of Term IV, a longer three-week break is incorporated to allow for academic and administrative preparations for the next academic year. During this period, students can also focus on relaxation, professional development, internships, and additional learning opportunities. This structured approach ensures that students and faculty maintain a healthy academic balance while optimizing learning outcomes and institutional efficiency.

Summary of the Academic Year Structure

Sl. No	Component	Purpose
1	Instructional Weeks (10 weeks in Term I, II, III)	Classroom learning, theoretical instruction, lab sessions
2	Experiential Weeks (10 weeks in Term IV)	Applied learning, industry projects, research work

3	Student-Led Activities (1 week in Term I and Term III)	Leadership, teamwork, creativity, and holistic development
4	Assessment Periods (1 week in Term I, II, III)	Project assessments, competency-based evaluations
5	Festival Holidays (1 week in Term II, III)	Cultural festival breaks
6	Term Breaks (1 week in Term I, II; 3 weeks in Term IV)	Academic planning, student transition, faculty development

Research Study

QSAC is implemented at Aurora University over the past two academic years (2022-2024). This research aimed to assess QSAC's impact on student performance, faculty workload, industry integration, and institutional efficiency in comparison to the traditional semester system. The key findings of the study are as follows:

Sl. No.	Item	QSAC vs Semester System
1	Overall GPA	28% increase in GPA compared to semester system
2	Course completion rate	99% course completion compared to 68% in semester system
3	Dropout rates	Dropout rate 0.7% compared to 12%
4	Internship participation	100% of students completed at least three internships while only one internship before graduation
5	Job placements	Job offers secured 4-6 months earlier
6	Employer satisfaction	87% employer satisfaction
7	Teaching load	30% reduction in workload pressure
8	Research output	25% increase in research productivity
9	Industry collaborations	40% increase in industry-linked research projects
10	Feedback on continuous assessment	87% preferred continuous assessment
11	Critical thinking and problem-solving	81% reported enhanced analytical and problem-solving skills
12	Exam stress	83% of students experienced lower exam stress

Key Insights

- QSAC led to a faster and more structured learning approach, improving student engagement and retention.
- Students experienced better employability outcomes, with higher internship participation and earlier job placements.
- Faculty had more time for research and industry collaboration, improving institutional academic output.
- Continuous assessment and competency-based learning reduced stress levels and enhanced conceptual understanding.
- QSAC aligns well with global education standards, enabling international credit transfer and student mobility.

Recommendations for Nationwide Adoption

- Expand QSAC to more universities by introducing pilot programs in leading institutions.
- Develop a national credit-based modular framework to support flexible interdisciplinary learning.
- Strengthen industry collaboration by aligning internship cycles with academic terms.
- Provide faculty training and workload optimization strategies to facilitate QSAC adoption.
- Integrate QSAC into National education policies (UGC, AICTE) to enable wider implementation.

CONCLUSION

The QSAC offers a more efficient, flexible, and industry-aligned academic structure compared to the traditional semester system. It supports modular learning, providing credit-based flexibility that allows students to take interdisciplinary courses and skill-based certifications alongside their core curriculum. A major strength of QSAC is enhanced industry integration, ensuring that students participate in structured internships every year and graduate with real-world experience and industry-relevant skills, significantly improving their employability prospects. Furthermore, the continuous assessment eliminates the reliance on high-stakes final exams, instead promoting competency-based learning

through projects, presentations, and applied evaluations. This shift fosters critical thinking, problem-solving, and practical application of knowledge. QSAC also optimizes faculty workload, enabling educators to balance teaching, research, and industry collaborations effectively, fostering academic innovation and institutional excellence. Collectively, these advantages make QSAC a future-ready academic model, ensuring global alignment, improved student success rates, and enhanced career readiness for Indian higher education.

Transitioning to a dynamic, industry-aligned, and competency-based academic calendar will allow India to modernize its higher education system, strengthen graduate employability, and align with international educational standards in line with the vision of NEP-2020. By adopting QSAC, Indian universities can bridge the gap between academia and industry, cultivate a highly skilled workforce, and create a globally competitive higher education ecosystem.

REFERENCES

Anderson, J. and Neuman, P. 2010. Retention Rates in Quarter vs. Semester-Based Institutions: A Comparative Study. *Journal of Higher Education Studies,* **45**(2): 89-105.

Boyer, E. and Rice, J. 2016. Faculty Workload and Research Productivity: Impact of Academic Term Structures. *American Journal of Higher Education,* **32**(1): 56-73.

Brint, S., Cantwell, A.M. and Hanneman, R.A. 2013. Degree Completion in Quarter vs. Semester Systems: An Empirical Analysis. *Research in Higher Education,* **54**(2): 117-138.

Cambridge University Research Initiative. 2020. Knowledge Retention and Assessment Models: The Impact of Academic Calendar Structures. Cambridge University Press.

Garcia, M. and Mitchell, L. 2021. Interdisciplinary Learning and Course Flexibility: A Study on the Quarter System. *International Journal of Educational Reform,* **38**(3): 211-230.

Henderson, K. and Gibbons, S. 2020. Faculty Burnout in Different Academic Structures: A Quantitative Comparison. *Journal of Faculty Development,* **27**(4): 142-158.

National Student Clearinghouse Research Center. 2019. Graduation Rates and Academic Calendar Models: A Longitudinal Analysis. Washington, DC.

OECD, 2022. Higher Education and Industry Collaboration: A Global Perspective on Academic Calendar Models. OECD Publishing.

Wilson, J. and Ross, D. 2015. Academic Term Length and Student Performance: An International Perspective. *Journal of Global Education Studies,* **28**(1): 33-50.

Zhao, C. and Kuh, G. 2018. Workforce Readiness and Adaptability: Comparing Quarter and Semester System Graduates. *Journal of Applied Learning in Higher Education,* **12**(2): 55-78.

Association of American Colleges & Universities (AAC&U). 2021. The Role of Co-op and Internships in Higher Education: A Study on Quarter vs. Semester Systems. Washington, DC: AAC&U Publications.