

AGRONOMY

Effect of Sowing Methods and Seed Rates on Phenological, Physiological and Yield Parameters of Chandrasur (*Lepidium sativum* L.)

B. Bhagora¹, K.C. Meena^{1*}, D.K. Patidar², Nitin Soni², B.K. Patidar³ and B.K. Kachouli⁴

¹Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops; College of Horticulture, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Mandsaur, Madhya Pradesh, India

²Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Mandsaur, Madhya Pradesh, India

³Department of Plant Pathology, College of Horticulture, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Mandsaur, Madhya Pradesh, India

⁴Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics; College of Horticulture, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Mandsaur, Madhya Pradesh, India

*Corresponding author: drkailashmeena06@gmail.com (ORCID ID: 0000-0003-3837-4887)

Received: 12-04-2022

Paper No. 1046

Revised: 04-07-2022

Accepted: 22-08-2022

ABSTRACT

The present experiment was conducted in the department of Plantation, Spice, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, College of Horticulture, RVSKVV, Mandsaur (M.P.) during 2019-20 in factorial RBD design with replicated thrice with two sowing methods and five seed rates as treatments. All the parameters were recorded at a fixed interval of 30 days from 30 days after sowing to harvest. The results revealed that line sowing exhibited early germination, flowering, maturity, and higher leaf area, leaf area index, crop growth rate, relative growth rate, chlorophyll content, and seed yield compared to broadcast. Among the seed rates, medium seed rate @8 kg ha⁻¹ is shown early in all the phenological and superior in physiological parameters. During interactions, line sowing with @8 kg seed ha⁻¹ was also early in germination, flowering, maturity, leaf area, leaf area index, crop growth rate, relative growth rate, chlorophyll content, and seed yield. On the other hand, the maximum harvest index was noted in the broadcast with 12 kg seed rate.

HIGHLIGHTS

- Optimum spacing can ensure proper growth and development through efficient utilization of sources.
- Seed rate avoids wastage of valuable seeds.
- Line sowing with lower seed rate provides non-competitive space between the plants.
- Line sowing with @ 8 kg seed rate per hectare had the best performance for growth and yield.

Keywords: Lepidium sativum, Seed rates, Sowing methods, Phenology, and Physiology

Chandrasur (*Lepidium sativum* L.), coming to the family Cruciferae is an edible, annual, and erect herb called 'water cress' or 'common cress' or 'pepper cress. Its seeds, leaves, and roots are economic (Priya *et al.* 2018). If is beneficial in promoting digestion and growth in teenagers. The extracts of seed have a hypotensive effect with transient respiratory stimulation (Saraswathi *et al.* 2014). As a result of differentiated uses, Its demand, popularity, and cultivation is increasing en route for commercial production (Chundawat *et al.* 2017). It is grown mostly in India, North America, and some

How to cite this article: Bhagora, B., Meena, K.C., Patidar, D.K., Soni, N., Patidar, B.K. and Kachouli, B.K. (2022). Effect of Sowing Methods and Seed Rates on Phenological, Physiological and Yield Parameters of Chandrasur (*Lepidium sativum* L.). *Int. J. Ag. Env. Biotech.*, **15**(03): 721-726.

Source of Support: None; Conflict of Interest: None



Bhagora et al.

parts of Europe. In India, it is grown mainly in Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, Gujarat, Maharashtra, and Tamil Nadu for seeds. Optimum spacing can ensure proper growth and development through efficient utilization of solar radiation, nutrients, water, land, and air and intercultural operations (Meena et al. 2014). Seed rate is one of the main factors that has a vital role on the plant's growth, yield, and quality. This avoids the wastage of valuable seeds and unnecessary competition (Tiwari et al. 2002). But in India, most farmers follow broadcast or line sowing methods without maintaining proper spacing for growing chandrasur (Meena et al. 2017). Most of the farmers of Madhya Pradesh are sown chandrasur as broadcasting. So, there is an urgent need to develop agronomical practices such as seed rate, sowing time, plant geometry, and method of sowing, etc. for obtain good yield and to provide information to farmers for its production technology (Chundawat et al. 2017)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was led in the department of Plantation, Spice, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, College of Horticulture Mandsaur, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior (M.P.) during 2019-20 under factorial RBD design with replicated thrice. The experimental site is situated in the Malwa plateau in the Western part of Madhya Pradesh at 23.450 to 24.130 North latitudes, 74.440 to 75.180 East longitudes. It belongs to sub-tropical and semi-arid climatic conditions. The treatments were accompanied by two sowing methods (M₁-line sowing and M₂ -broadcasting) as main plots and five seed rates $(S_1-4, S_2-6, S_3-8, S_4-10,$ and S_5 -12 kg ha⁻¹) as sub-plots. All the parameters were recorded at 30, 60, 90 days after sowing and at harvest. The experimental data were subjected to statistical analysis using the technique suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1985). The "F" test was found significant at 5 %, and the critical differences for the treatment's comparison were worked out. In each plot, observation recorded that from sowing to 50% of seedlings come from the soil, plants attained 50% flowering, and for maturity when more than 90 percent of plants turned yellowish and attained maturity from date of sowing for phenological parameters. The leaf area was recorded using a laser area meter (Model LI-300), and the leaf area index was worked out as per the specifications of Gardner *et al.* (1985). The CGR and the RGR were determined as per the formula suggested by Watson (1952).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phenological Parameter: All the phonological data were significantly influenced by the applied sowing methods and seed rate during an investigation and are presented in Table 1.

Effect of sowing methods

Results revealed that line sowing showed early 50% germination (4.47 days), 50% flowering (60.00 days), and maturity (118.27 days) followed by broadcasting which was late in the same trails, but both the sowing methods were at par with each other. Probably because of sufficient space for germination and other phenophases that prevailed during broadcasting. The early phenophases may be due to the optimum plant spacing. Similar results were found by Meena *et al.* (2017) in chandrasur and Giridhar *et al.* (2017) in nigella.

Effect of seed rates

However, among the seed rates, 8 kg seed was found to have a significant earliest 50% germination (4.17 days), 50% flowering (59.00 days), and maturity (117.17 days), which was at par with 6 kg seed rate but significantly superior over rest of the seed rates. Optimum plant spacing could possibly be the reason for the early phenology during medium seed rate. Similarly, results were observed by Mengistu and Yamoah (2010) and Jawad *et al.* (2015).

Interactions effect

Line sowing with 8 kg seed was early in 50% germination (4.00 days), 50% flowering (58.00 days), and maturity (116.00 days). Thought line sowing with 6 kg seed rate lagged behind the former and at par in broadcast with 10 kg seed rate but significantly lower with the remaining interactions. There is less competition for sunlight, space, moisture, and nutrients during wider spacing which ultimately results in early phenophases, according to Angassa (2017) and Nayma *et al.* (2019) in chandrasur.

Table 1: Effect of sowing method	ods and seed rates on	the phenological	and yield	parameters of
Le	pidium sativum during	g rabi 2019-20		

	Phenologica	al Parameters (No.	Yield Attributes		
Treatments	50% germination	50% flowering	Maturity	Seed yield (g plant ⁻¹)	Harvest Index (%)
Sowing methods					
M ₁ -Line sowing	4.47	60.00	118.27	18.95	46.64
M ₂ -Broadcast	4.60	60.53	118.80	18.76	47.26
S. Em.±	0.12	0.07	0.08	0.01	0.31
CD@ 5%	0.35	0.20	0.23	0.02	0.92
Seed rates (kg ha ⁻¹)					
S ₁ -4 kg	4.67	60.67	119.50	18.51	58.52
S ₂ -6 kg	4.33	60.00	117.67	19.16	36.02
S ₃ -8 kg	4.17	59.00	117.17	19.36	34.99
S ₄ -10 kg	4.50	60.17	118.17	18.94	41.40
S ₅ -12 kg	5.00	61.50	120.17	18.30	63.84
S. Em.±	0.19	0.10	0.12	0.01	0.49
CD@ 5%	0.55	0.31	0.36	0.03	1.46
Interactions (sowing method	l × seed rate)				
M ₁ ×S ₁	4.67	60.67	119.33	18.53	58.39
$M_1 \times S_2$	4.00	59.67	117.00	19.56	34.16
$M_1 \times S_3$	4.00	58.00	116.00	19.67	32.44
$M_1 \times S_4$	4.67	60.33	119.00	18.62	46.37
$M_1 \times S_5$	5.00	61.33	120.00	18.38	61.84
$M_2 \times S_1$	4.67	60.67	119.67	18.48	58.65
M ₂ ×S ₂	4.67	60.33	118.33	18.77	37.88
$M_2 \times S_3$	4.33	60.00	118.33	19.05	37.54
$M_2 \times S_4$	4.33	60.00	117.33	19.27	36.42
$M_2 \times S_5$	5.00	61.67	120.33	18.23	65.83
S. Em.±	0.26	0.15	0.17	0.01	0.70
CD@ 5%	0.78	0.44	0.51	0.04	2.07

Physiological Parameters: The investigation pertaining that the physiological parameters varied significantly with different sowing methods and seed rates during the whole life span and data are presented in Table 2.

Effect of sowing methods

The result confirms that the line sowing accumulated higher leaf area (119.50, 393.94, 669.84, and 668.14), leaf area index (0.856, 1.773, and 2.230), crop growth rate (0.152, 0.131 and 0.184), relative growth rate (0.0872, 0.0820 and 0.0930) and chlorophyll content (42.59, 44.56 and 47.42) as compared to broadcast which was lowest in the same trait at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest, respectively. Increased leaf area and their related growth parameters resulted in a greater light interception in line sowing by the crop, which might have contributed to the vegetative growth of plants. Similar findings agreed with the results of Priya *et al.* (2018) in Chandrasur.

Effect of seed rates

8 kg seed rate had recorded the maximum leaf area (120.44, 397.00, 674.18, and 672.29), leaf area index (0.862, 1.785 and 2.244), crop growth rate (0.161, 0.141, and 0.194), relative growth rate (0.0890, 0.0850 and 0.0950) and chlorophyll content (42.94, 45.67 and 48.12) followed by 6 kg seed rate and were differed with each other but significantly higher over rest of the seed rates at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest, respectively. The higher crop growth might be due to broader spacing in low seed rate; hence more production of photosynthates in the leaves was rapidly consumed by the plant in all the phases. This is similar to the results of Priya *et al.* (2018) in Chandrasur and Khoja (2004) in Coriander.

Interaction effect

The statistical data showed that line sowing with 8 kg seed had shown significant superiority for leaf



Treatments		Leaf area (cm ² plant ⁻¹)			Chlorophyll contents (SPAD)			
Sowing methods	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	
M ₁ -Line sowing	119.50	393.94	669.84	668.14	42.59	44.56	47.42	
M ₂ -Broadcast	119.33	393.39	668.75	667.20	42.30	44.37	47.17	
S. Em.±	0.010	0.016	0.015	0.059	0.012	0.014	0.006	
CD@ 5%	0.030	0.048	0.046	0.175	0.036	0.041	0.018	
Seed rates (kg ha-1)								
S ₁ -4 kg	118.64	390.26	666.01	665.30	42.06	43.78	46.63	
S,-6 kg	120.26	395.92	672.74	670.72	42.81	45.01	47.89	
S ₃ -8 kg	120.44	397.00	674.18	672.29	42.94	45.67	48.12	
S ₄ -10 kg	119.80	395.64	671.75	669.21	42.54	44.63	47.59	
S ₅ -12 kg	117.95	389.50	661.79	660.83	41.88	43.23	46.23	
S. Em.±	0.016	0.026	0.024	0.093	0.019	0.022	0.009	
CD@ 5%	0.047	0.076	0.072	0.277	0.057	0.065	0.028	
Interactions (sowing meth	nod × seed rate	2)						
M ₁ ×S ₁	118.67	390.66	666.38	665.68	42.10	43.90	46.67	
M ₁ ×S ₂	120.69	397.03	674.97	672.55	43.26	45.18	48.20	
M ₁ ×S ₃	120.82	397.58	675.07	672.93	43.31	46.29	48.51	
M ₁ ×S ₄	118.95	394.74	669.15	666.10	42.33	44.20	47.15	
M ₁ ×S ₅	118.39	389.67	663.63	663.42	41.95	43.25	46.56	
$M_2 \times S_1$	118.62	389.86	665.64	664.92	42.01	43.67	46.59	
M ₂ ×S ₂	119.83	394.80	670.50	668.88	42.36	44.83	47.58	
M ₂ ×S ₃	120.06	396.43	673.30	671.65	42.56	45.05	47.73	
$M_2 \times S_4$	120.66	396.54	674.36	672.32	42.75	45.07	48.04	
M ₂ ×S ₅	117.51	389.33	659.95	658.24	41.81	43.21	45.90	
S. Em.±	0.022	0.036	0.035	0.132	0.027	0.031	0.013	
CD@ 5%	0.066	0.108	0.102	0.392	0.081	0.092	0.039	

Table 2: Effect of sowing methods and seed rates on the leaf area and chlorophyll content of

 Lepidium sativum during *rabi* 2019-20

area (120.82, 397.58, 657.07 and 672.93), leaf area index (0.864, 1.788 and 2.247), crop growth rate (0.162, 0.147 and 0.206), relative growth rate (0.0893, 0.0861 and 0.0970) and chlorophyll content (43.31, 46.29 and 48.51) among the interactions. Though, line sowing with 6 kg seed rate lagged behind the former but was significantly superior over the rest of the interactions at 30, 60, 90 DAS, and at harvest, respectively. It is observed that the relative growth rate was slow with the advancement of growth stages, especially 60 days after sowing. It may be due to the natural senescence of older leaves. However, a higher growth rate was observed in line with sowing with a low seed rate. Wider spacing had optimum spacing, light moisture provided to plants, and production of more photosynthates resulting in a higher relative growth rate. Similar findings were found by Priya et al. (2018) in Chandrasur and Lavanya et al. (2014) in radish.

Seed Yield and their Components: The seed yield was significantly influenced with higher spacing

and medium seed rates of chandrasur and are presented in Table 3.

Effect of sowing methods

It is confirmed from the results that the line sowing had a higher seed yield (18.95 g palnt⁻¹) as compared to broadcast, which was lowest in the same trait. But the more harvest index was noted in broadcast (47.26 %) over line sowing. The higher seed yield was due to more photosynthetic leaf area, and its efficient utilization from source to sink might have increased the better filling of seeds the pods, thus leading to increased seed yield. These results are in agreement with the report of Bilekudari *et al.* (2005).

Effect of seed rates

8 kg rate produced (19.36 g plant⁻¹) seeds which were highest among the other seed rates. Though, 6 kg seed rate lagged behind the former over the remaining seed rates. But again, the higher harvest index (63.54 %) in the 12 kg seed rate over the rest of



Table 3: Effect of sowing methods and seed rates on the leaf area index, crop growth rate and relative growth rate of *Lepidium sativum* during *rabi* 2019-20.

Treatments	Leaf area index			Crop gro	Crop growth rate (g cm ⁻² days ⁻¹)			Relative growth rate (g g ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)		
Sowing methods	30 -60	60 -90	90 - At	30 -60	60 -90	90 - At	30 -60	60 -90	90 DAS - At	
	DAS	DAS	harvest	DAS	DAS	harvest	DAS	DAS	harvest	
M ₁ -Line sowing	0.856	1.773	2.230	0.152	0.131	0.184	0.0872	0.0820	0.0930	
M ₂ -Broadcast	0.855	1.770	2.226	0.152	0.125	0.177	0.0870	0.0810	0.0920	
S. Em.±	0.003	0.004	0.010	0.013	0.015	0.012	0.003	0.004	0.002	
CD@ 5%	0.010	0.012	0.031	0.039	0.045	0.035	0.008	0.012	0.010	
Seed rates (kg ha-1)										
S ₁ -4 kg	0.848	1.760	2.219	0.145	0.118	0.172	0.0856	0.0790	0.0910	
S_2 -6 kg	0.860	1.781	2.238	0.158	0.137	0.187	0.0886	0.0840	0.0940	
S ₃ -8 kg	0.862	1.785	2.244	0.161	0.141	0.194	0.0890	0.0850	0.0950	
S ₄ -10 kg	0.859	1.779	2.236	0.156	0.133	0.181	0.0881	0.0830	0.0930	
S ₅ -12 kg	0.846	1.753	2.204	0.140	0.111	0.170	0.0844	0.0760	0.0910	
S. Em.±	0.005	0.006	0.017	0.021	0.024	0.019	0.004	0.006	0.004	
CD@ 5%	0.016	0.018	0.049	0.062	0.072	0.055	0.013	0.019	0.012	
Interactions (sowing method × seed rate)										
$M_1 \times S_1$	0.848	1.762	2.220	0.146	0.125	0.172	0.0858	0.0806	0.0910	
$M_1 \times S_2$	0.863	1.787	2.246	0.161	0.144	0.198	0.0892	0.0855	0.0960	
$M_1 \times S_3$	0.864	1.788	2.247	0.162	0.147	0.206	0.0893	0.0861	0.0970	
$M_1 \times S_4$	0.856	1.773	2.228	0.153	0.125	0.174	0.0875	0.0807	0.0920	
$M_1 \times S_5$	0.848	1.756	2.212	0.140	0.115	0.170	0.0844	0.0771	0.0910	
$M_2 \times S_1$	0.848	1.758	2.218	0.144	0.112	0.171	0.0854	0.0779	0.0910	
$M_2 \times S_2$	0.858	1.775	2.230	0.155	0.130	0.177	0.0880	0.0819	0.0920	
$M_2 \times S_3$	0.861	1.783	2.242	0.159	0.134	0.182	0.0887	0.0830	0.0930	
$M_2 \times S_4$	0.862	1.785	2.244	0.159	0.141	0.187	0.0888	0.0846	0.0940	
M ₂ ×S ₅	0.845	1.750	2.197	0.139	0.107	0.169	0.0843	0.0754	0.0910	
S. Em.±	0.008	0.009	0.023	0.029	0.034	0.026	0.006	0.009	0.005	
CD@ 5%	0.022	0.026	0.070	0.087	0.102	0.078	0.019	0.026	0.015	

the seed rates. The beneficial effect of less seed rate in seed yield has been due to better light penetration and higher photosynthetic efficiency resulting in the development of plant canopy with more pods/plant and seed/pods as early reported by Shambhu *et al.* (2019) in chandrasur.

Interaction effect

Line sowing with 8 kg seed rate produced higher seed (19.67 g plant⁻¹). Though, line sowing with 6 kg seed rate lagged behind the former but was significantly superior over the rest of the interactions. On the other hand, the maximum harvest index (65.83 %) was noted in the broadcast with 12 kg seed rate. Line sowing with lower seed rate provide non-competitive space between the plants as higher plant density adversely affect the growth and development. On othe other hands, a higher yield per plant was obtained under wider spacing with low seed rates Chundawat *et al.* (2017) in Chandrasur. These findings are in agreed with the results of Meena *et al.* (2017) and Nayma et al. (2019) in Chandrasur.

CONCLUSION

Based on our findings, it can be concluded that line sowing with @ 8 kg seed rate per hectare had the best performance for chandrasur growth and yield and could be recommended to farmers for the cultivation of chandrasur.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express their deepest gratitude, guidance, and support for consistent professional advice, field supervision, and for providing



references and materials, especially during the experiment.

REFERENCES

- Angassa, D. 2017. Effect of Sowing Method and Seeding Rate on Yield and Yield Components of Rainfed Rice (*Oryza* sativa L.) Varieties in Woliso, South-West Shoa Zone, Ethiopia. Int. J. App. Agri. Sci., 3(5): 104-110.
- Bilekudari, M.K., Deshpande, V.K. and Shekhargouda, M. 2005. Effect of spacing and fertiliger levels on growth, seed yield and quality of radish (*Raphanus stivus* L.). *Karnataka J. Agri. Sci.*, **18**(2): 338-342.
- Chundawat, R.S., Patidar, D.K., Haldar, A. and Meena, K.C. 2017. Growth and seed yield of Asalio (*Lepidium Sativum* L.) as Influenced by seed rates and sowing methods. *Curr. Agri. Res. J.*, **5**(3): 1-4.
- Gardner, F.P., Pearcer, R.B. and Mitchell, R.L. 1985. Growth and development in physiology of crop plants. The Iowa State Uni. Press, pp. 187-208.
- Giridhar, K., Reddy, G.S., Kumari, S.S., Kumari, A.L. and Sivasankar, A. 2017. Influence of Sowing Window and Plant Density on Growth, Phenology, Yield and Quality of *Nigella sativa* L. in Coastal Humid Tropic. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 6(9): 499-512.
- Jawad, R., Nawaz, S., Hammad, H.M., Salik and Farhad, W. 2015. Nitrogen and Sowing Method Affect Radish Growth and Yield Under Arid Environment of Pakistan. Int. Sci., 27(3): 2245-2250.
- Lavanya, A.V.N., Vani, V.S., Reddy, P.S.S. and Chaitanya, K. 2014. Effect of sowing dates and spacing on growth and root yield of radish CV. Pusa Chetki. *Plant Arch.*, **14**(1): 619-623.

- Meena, B.K., Chouhan, G.S., Meena, V.K., Singh, S. and Meena, L.K. 2017. Response of Chandrasur (*Lepidium sativum* L.) to sowing durations and stand geometries. *Chem. Sci. Rev. Let.*, 6(22): 850-856.
- Meena, K.C., Rao, S., Rao, S.K., Gontia, A.S. and Singh, S.K. 2014. Morpho-physiological Basis of Waterlogging Tolerance in Pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. Int. J. Agri. Environ. Biote., 7(2): 409-419.
- Nayma, S., Meena, K.C., Kiran, M.R. and Kumawat, A. 2019. Effect of sowing time and row spacing on growth, yield and quality of Chandrasur (*Lepidium sativum* L.). *Int. J. Chem. Stu.*, 7(3): 1972-1976.
- Panse, V.G. and Sukhtme, P.V. 1984. Statistical methods for Agriculture workers. Indian council of Agriculture research, New Delhi, pp 108.
- Priya, S., Padma, T., Ramesh, K.B., Suneetha, D. and Neeraja Prabhakar, B. 2018. Effect of Dates of Sowing on Growth and Physiological Parameters of Garden Cress (*Lepidium sativum* L.). *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.*, **6**(2): 797-800.
- Saraswathi, G. and Vidya, K.M. 2014. Effect of dates of sowing and fertilizer levels on growth and yield of garden cress (*Lepidium sativum* L.). *Int. J. Agri. Sci.*, **9**(2): 476-479
- Shambhu, Meena, K.C., Haldar, A., Patidar, D.K. and Abdul, R. 2019. Effect of sowing time and plant geometry on growth, yield and quality of chandrasur (*Lepidium sativum* L.). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 8(03): 1985-1991.
- Tiwari, G., Shah, P. and Tiwari, J.P. 2002. Effect of sowing method and seed rate on growth and yield of ashwagndha {*Withaniya somnifera* (L.) Dunal.} under rainfed condition. *Agri. Sci. Digest.*, **22**(3): 201-202.
- Watson, D.J. 1952. Physiological basis of varieties in yield. *Adv. Agron.*, **4**: 01-145.