

A Cross Sectional Study on the Prevalence and Identification of Major Ixodid Tick Parasites of Cattle in Gozamin Woreda, East Gojjam, Ethiopia

Tadele Leyikun 1* , Biniyam Mulugeta 2 and Mulat Asrat 3

¹Bahirdar Regional Veterinary Laboratory, Bahirdar, ETHIOPIA

²Animal product, veterinary drug and feed quality assessment center, Addis Ababa, ETHIOPIA

³School of Veterinary Medicine, Wollo University, ETHIOPIA

*Corresponding author: A Mulat; Email: mullur1974@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

A cross- sectional study was conducted from November 2015 to June 2016 in Gozamin Woreda with the objective to estimate the prevalence of ticks, to investigate their genera and assessing association with the different risk factors such as breed, sex, age, body condition scores, and management system. Adult ticks were collected from 384 cattle from local and cross-breed cattle. Out of the total of 384 cattle examined, 197(51.3%) were found to be infested by one or more genera of tick parasites. A total of 919 adult ticks, which belongs to four genera of ticks were collected and identified using stereomicroscopy. In this study Rhipicephalus, Hyalomma, Amblyomma, and Boophilus were identified as 27.3%, 26.3%, 25.3%, and 21.1% respectively. More numbers of Rhipicephalus was collected. The prevalence of male and female animals was found to be 91 (45.96%) and 106 (57%) respectively. According to their management variation animals kept in extensive and intensive farming system were infested with 162 (36.07%) and 35 (30.97%) respectively. The prevalence in local and cross breed was 135(57.69%) and 62 (41.3%) respectively. The prevalence in young and adult animals was found to be 59 (40.69%) and 138 (57.74%) respectively. Based on their body condition score of animals, poor 117 (51.09%), medium 58(61.70%) and good body condition 22(36.07%). In this study breed, body condition score, management and age did not indicate statistical significant association with the infestation rate but there was statistically significant association of infestation rate with the sex of the animals (P<0.05) and x^2 = 9.328. Special attention should be given to the control and prevention of ticks, since they cause severe damage to hides and thereby reduce the foreign exchange of the country; besides they also transmit many diseases to cattle which cause economic loss to the farmers.

Keywords: Cattle, Gozamin, Ixodid, Prevalence, tick

Livestock production in many parts of the world is constrained by several factors. Ectoparasite particularly ticks have considerable impact on the animals either directly or by transmission of tick borne disease. Ticks and tick borne disease affects 90% of the world cattle population and are widely distributed throughout the world, particularly in tropical and subtropical Countries (Decastro, 1997). The Ethiopian livestock contribute about 18.8% of the total GDP (FAO, 2003). Among livestock, cattle are primary source of for the people and the government of Ethiopia (ILRI, 1999). The countries environmental condition and vegetation are highly conducive for ticks and TBDs perpetuation (Pegram

et al., 1981). The study done for assessments of major factors that cause skin rejection at Modjo export tannery, Ethiopia, revealed that ectoparasites play a key role in the rejection of skin. Parasitic disease is a global problem and considered as the major obstacle in the health and product performance of the livestock. Tick is a very significant and harmful blood sucking parasite of mammals, birds and reptiles throughout the world (Rajput et al., 2006).

Ticks are arachnids in the sub class acari which are relatively large and long lived parasite. They are blood feeding external parasites. Ticks are classified into two families, Argarisidae or soft ticks and Ixodidae or hard



ticks which differ considerably by their structure. More than 28species of ticks are distributed in Ethiopia. There are four stages in the life cycle of ticks: egg, larva, nymph, and adult. They maintain their parasite existence by feeding on vertebrate host. Tick bites can be directly debilitating to domestic animals causing mechanical damage, irritations, inflammations and hypersensitivity. When ticks are present in large numbers, it is feeding may cause anemia and reduction of productivity (George *et al.*, 2004).

Complex of problems related to ticks and tick-borne diseases of cattle created a demand for methods to control ticks and reduce losses of cattle production and productivity. Control of tick infestations and the transmission of tick-borne diseases remain a challenge for the cattle industry in tropical and subtropical areas of the world. Tick control is a priority for many countries in tropical and subtropical regions. Tick and tick born disease causes loss of live stock economy of Ethiopia and ranks third among major parasitic disease after *Trypanosomiasis* and endoparasite (Lodos *et al.*, 2000). Therefore, the main objectives of this study were to estimate the prevalence, associated risk factors and their distribution in Gozamin Woreda.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

A cross sectional study was conducted from November 2015 to June 2016 in Gozamin Woreda, East Gojjam in Amhara regional state, located 300 km North West of Addis Ababa. It is situated between 14°36'N and 35°28>E at an altitude of about 3100 m above sea level with an temperature range of 20°C-28°C and an average annual rainfall of 2200 mm. The livestock population in the area comprises of cattle (9,302), goat (4,210), sheep (6,415), horse (3,001) and donkey (10,041) (CSA, 2013).

Study design and sampling methods

A cross sectional study was conducted from November 2015 to June 2016 to estimate the prevalence of tick infestation and to investigate the genera of tick in Gozamin Woreda. The study animals were selected by using simple random sampling method from animals that were brought to Gozamin veterinary clinic and cattle kept under individual households.

Study population

Study population consists of cattle that were brought to Gozamin veterinary clinic for different reasons and cattle kept under individual households were the target population. Cattle were categorized into groups according to Aiello and Mays (1998) young and adult, sex (male and female), breed (local and cross) and Ferguson (2011) divide the body condition score as (poor, medium and good).

Sample size determination

The sample size required for this study was determined according to Thrusfield (1995). Since there is no documented information about for the prevalence of tick infestation in the study area, it is possible to take 50% prevalence for sample size determination. The other determinants considered in sample size determination have been 95% confidence interval and 5% desired absolute precision. Hence the sample size is estimated as,

$$N = \frac{1.96^2 P_{\text{exp}} \left(1 - P_{\text{exp}} \right)}{d^2}$$

Where, N = required sample size

 P_{exp} = expected prevalence

 d^2 = desired absolute precision

$$n = \frac{1.96^2 P_{\rm exp} \left(1 - P_{\rm exp} \right)}{d^2}$$

From the confidence interval d = 5% = 0.05. Using the above formula, the minimum sample size would be 384.

Tick collection and identification

After the selected animals were restrained properly, entire body surface was inspected and all visible adult ticks were collected from their body part using by hand or using special forceps holding at the basis of capitulum and gently removed by exerting a horizontal pull to the body surface and by slightly rotating the tick so as not to lose the mouth part of tick. Collection of tick was done on tail, udder, brisket, dewlap, vulva, ears, scrotum, flank, legs and anus area. Ticks collected from each animal and each site were put in universal sampling bottle containing

70% ethyl alcohol that had been pre-labeled. Required information like the date of collection, age, sex, breed, body condition scores and management system of the hosts were recorded. The samples transported to parasitology laboratory for identification. They were identified by using a stereomicroscope according to standard identification keys given by (Walker *et al.*, 2003).

Data management and analysis

The data obtained from this survey were entered in Microsoft worksheet excels. Then descriptive statistics was used to analyze the data using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) software version 20.0. Chi-Square test (x^2) with computed p-value of less than 0.05 was used to estimate the statistical significance association of tick infestation rate with sex, breeds, ages, management and body condition score.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study a total of 384 animals were examined. Among these 234 animals were local and 150 were cross breeds. The overall prevalence of the tick is 51.3% (197/384). The prevalence of ticks in local breed was higher than that of cross breed and in young animals had lower infestation than adult. Based on their sex variation it was found to be lowered prevalence in males than in female animals. Based on their body condition score variation, medium score animals showed higher prevalence than poor and good body condition.

Table 1: Distribution of tick genera of cattle in the study area

Genera of ticks	Prevalence (%)		
Rhipicephalus	27.3		
Hyalomma	26.3		
Amblyomma	25.3		
Boophilus	21.1		
Total	100		

According to their management variation, animals kept in under extensive system revealed higher prevalence than under intensive farming system. In this study all risk factors did not reveal statistically significant association with the tick infestation, except that statistically significant association of infestation rate was noticed with the sex of the animals (P<0.05) and $x^2 = 9.328$ (Table 6).

The total number ticks collected in the study period were 799 ticks (444 male and female 335 ticks), from different body regions of animal. From the collected tick, *Rhipicephalus*, *Hyalomma*, *Amblyomma*, *and Boophilus* were identified (Table 3) and with respective predilection sites (Table 1).

Table 2: Proportion and distribution of tick within their predilection site

Genera of ticks	Number of ticks	Predilection site
Rhipicephalus	218	Ear, udder, tail, vulva, anus
Hyalomma	210	Udder, scrotum, tail, anus
Amblyomma	202	Scrotum, udder, brisket, dewlap, vulva,
Boophilus	169	Dewlap, ears, scrotum, flank, legs, brisket
Total	799	

Table 3: Distribution and sex ratio of tick genera

Genera of ticks	Sex		Male to Female	Total	
	Male	Female	ratio		
Rhipicephalus	133	85	1.56:1	218	
Hyalomma	115	95	1.21:1	210	
Amblyomma	113	89	1.27:1	202	
Boophilus	83	86	0.97:1	169	
Total	444	335		799	

Table 4: Prevalence of tick on different risk factors

Risk factors		No. of	No. of	Preva-	P	X ² -
		exam- ined	animals positive	lence (%)	value	value
Breed	Local	234	135	57.69	0.124	2.43
	Cross	150	62	41.3		
Age	Adult	239	138	57.74	0.465	0.671
	Young	145	59	40.69		
Sex	Male	198	91	45.96	0.007	9.328
	Female	186	106	57		
Body	Poor	229	117	51.09		
condition	Medium	94	58	61.70	0.123	3.425
score	Good	61	22	36.07		
Manage-	Extensive	271	162	36.07		
ment system	Intensive	113	35	30.97	0.382	2.13

In the present study the total prevalence of tick was found to be 51.3%. This finding is greater than the reports of Kassa and Yalew (2012) with a prevalence of 33.21% in Haramaya district and Tesfahey wet and Simeon (2013) a prevalence of 16.0% in Benchi Maji Zone of the Southern Nations and nationalities of Ethiopia. In contrast to this Nigatu and Teshome (2012) were reported a higher prevalence of ticks (89.4%) from Western Amhara Region. The percentage variation in the present studies could be due to the distribution of ticks influenced by rainfall, altitude and atmospheric relative humidity. Rhipicephalus, Hyalomma, Amblyomma and Boophilus were the four important genera of ticks identified with total prevalence 27.3%, 26.3%, 25.3%, and 21.1% respectively. The prevalence of Genus Rhipicephalus tick was greater in this study which is in agrees with studies of Kassa and Yalew (2012), Tamiru and Abebaw (2010), Bossena and Abdu (2012) and Sileshe et al. (2007) with a prevalence of 47.16, 60.1, 45 and 40% respectively, but higher than present studies. According to Morel (1980) native distribution of Rhipicephalus is likely to be connected with middle height dry savannas and steppes association with abundant ruminant population. In addition Pergam et al. (1981) stated that tick has species no apparent preference for particular altitude, rain fall or season which might contribute its wide distribution.

The proportion of tick infestation was higher in adult animals as compared to the younger one. However there was no statistically significant difference (p> 0.05). This finding is also in agreement with the finding of Gashew (2010); Tiki and Addis (2011), who were reported a higher proportion of tick infestation in adult cattle than younger. This might be associated with less contact of young animals with other herds of animals which can be source of transmission. Many of adult cattle graze in the pasture and forest, and the probability for exposure to tick infestation is increasing (Ramsi *et al.*, 2007).

Local breeds 135 (57.69%) were affected more than the cross breeds 62 (41.3%) but with no statistical significance differences (p>0.05). Similar study revealed that the prevalence of tick infestation in local breeds was high with a prevalence of 56.9% (n=293) whilst in cross breeds, the prevalence was 30.4% (n=228) in earlier study of (Tiki and Addis, 2011). In addition Kassa and Yalew (2012) who reported that the prevalence of tick infestation was not significantly higher in local breed cattle (58.18%)

than cross breed ones (10.55%). But it was contrasting the earlier studies of Tamiru and Abebaw (2010) who reported that the prevalence of ticks was higher in the cross breeds than local breeds. The results of the present study might be attributed to differences in management systems and lack of control measures against tick on local cattle breeds. Furthermore, it can be assumed that it might be due to lack of interest of farmers about local cattle as well as taking more care to cross breed than local cattle (Tadesse and Sultan, 2014).

Female animals were to be found more affected than male animals (in male 45.96% and in female it was 57%) with statistical significance (P<0.05 and X^2 =9.328). This result is in agreement with the results of Tesfahe wet and Simeon (2013) who recorded that the prevalence of parasite infestation in male and female animals was 25.0% and 39.1%, respectively and also agree with the overall prevalence of Kassa and Yalew (2012) 31.11% and 35.19% male and female respectively. This might be due to the fact that most of the time males enter to feed lot and thus they have less accessibility to be infested with tick. Feedlot animals are most likely with reduced tick infestation since the environment is not suitable for the free living stages of tick (Jonsson, 2004).

The prevalence of ticks was concerning with their body conditions of animals (61.70%) in medium, (51.09%) in poor and (36.07%) in good body condition scores. It reveals that not statistically significance association where the p-value is greater than 0.05 and chi-square 3.425. This finding was in agree with finding indicated in Bossena and Abdu (2012) who recorded that the significantly higher prevalence was seen in animals with medium (44.5%), poor body condition (9.89%)and good body condition (4.9%). In additions, this present study was agreement with the earlier study of Wasihun and Doda (2013) who reported that the proportion of tick infestation was higher in medium body conditioned (79.8%) as compared to poor body conditioned (67.9%) and good body conditioned animals (58.0%). This might be due to the fact that medium body scored animals are exposed to any kind of diseases when grazing on the field, and poor body conditioned animals were kept at home due to their inability to walk long distant areas, so that they become less infested than medium sized animals but, the well fed animals are very resistant to any kind of diseases when they grazed in the field or are kept at home (Tadesse and Sultan, 2014).

Cattle tick infestation was insignificantly (p>0.05) higher in cattle kept under extensive production system (36.07%) than those kept under intensive farming system (30.97%). This is present study was in agree with earlier finding of Tadesse and Sultan (2014) who recorded prevalence of tick infestation in under extensive production as 75.0% (n=288) and under intensive farming system 25.0% (n=96). This situation might be due to regular washing of barns and animals, regular treatment of animals with acaricides wich will reduce the susceptibility of tick infestation in intensive farming animals where as extensive farming cattle move anywhere for feeding, staying and drinking, and hence susceptibility of tick infestation is higher.

Male to female ratio of identified tick species in the study indicated that, males were found to be dominant except for *Boophilus* (0.97:1). The finding agrees with that of Tamiru and Abebaw (2010) who observed dominant males with exception of *Boophilus* (0.4:1). The reason behind for the dominance of male than females could be due to the fact that fully engorged female tick drop off to the ground to lay eggs while male tend to remain permanently attached to the host up to several months they continue feeding and mating with other females on the host before dropping off and hence males normally remains on the host longer than female (Tadesse and Sultan, 2014).

In the present studies revealed that Genus *Amblyomma* prefers scrotum, udder, brisket, dewlap and vulva region which was agreement with finding of Sileshi *et al.* (2007). The attachment sites of *Rhipicephalus* were ear, udder, tail, and vulva and anus region which is in agreement with the finding of (Sileshi *et al.*, 2007).

In the present study showed that the preferred the attachment sites for *Boophilus* were dewlap, ears, scrotum, flank, legs, brisket region which is in agreement with the finding of Sileshi *et al.* (2007) and according to Tessema and Gashaw (2010) *Boophilus* prefers dewlap, head and back region. Each tick has their own predilection sites on their host. Ticks are known to be distributed in different parts of the host body and the factors such as host density, seasonal and inaccessibility for grooming determine attachment sites for tick (Solomon and Kassa, 2001)

CONCLUSION

This study was conducted to assess the prevalence and the identifications of the genera of tick in Gozamin Woreda.

The most important genera identified during this study period were *Rhipicephalus*, *Hyalomma*, *Amblyomma* and *Boophilus*. Among the genera of tick identified *Rhipicephalus* was relatively the more abundant tick species which followed by *Hyalomma* tick and the least one was *Boophilus*. The study indicated that there was high burden of ticks in the study area. However, the attention given to controlling the infestation had not been sufficient.

REFERENCES

- Bossena, F. and Abdu, M. 2012. Survey on the distribution of tick species in and around Assosa town, Ethiopia. *Research J. Vet. Sci.*, *5*: 32-41.
- CSA. 2013. Central Statistics Authority. Ethiopia agricultural Statistical report on livestock and livestock characteristics.
- De Castro, J. 1997. Sustainable tick and tick borne disease control in livestock improvement in developing countries. *Vet. Parasitol.*, **71**: 77-79.
- FAO. 2003. Livestock sector brief information sector analysis and policy branch. April 2003, p. 1-5.
- Gashew. A. 2010. Seasonal dynamics and host preference of Boophilus decoloratus on naturally infested cattle in Jimma Zone, South Western Ethiopia. *Ethiopia Vet. J.*, 18(1): 19-20.
- George, J.E., Pound, J.M. and Davey, R.B. 2004. Chemical control of ticks on cattle and the resistance of these parasites to acaricides. *Parasitology*, **129**(7): 353-366.
- ILRI. 1999. Making the Livestock Revolution Work For Poor, Annul Report ILRI. Naorobi, Kenya, p. 1-20.
- Jonsson, N.N. 2004. Integrated control program for tick on cattle. An examination of some Possible Components of FAO Animal Production and Health paper. *J. Vet. Parasitol.*, **117(6)**: 402- 432.
- Kassa, S.A. and Yalew, A. 2012. Identification of *Ixodide* ticks of cattle in and around Hararamaya district, Eastern Ethiopia. *Scientific J. Crop Sci.*, **1(1)**:32-38.
- Lodos, J., Boue, O. and Fuente, J. A. 2000. Model to simulate the effect of vaccination against *Boophilus* ticks on cattle. *Vet. Parasitol.*, **87(4)**: 315-326.
- Morel, P. 1980. Study on Ethiopian ticks (Acari, Ixodidae). Republic of France, ministry of foreign affairs, French vet. Mission, Addis Ababa, p. 21-32.
- Nigatu, K. and Teshome, F. 2012. Population dynamics of cattle ectoparasite in western Amhara National Regional State Ethiopia. *J. Vet. Med. Anim. Hlth.*, **4**: 22-26.
- Pegram, R.G., Hoogstral, H.H.M. and Wassef, H.V. 1981. Ticks of Ethiopian distribution, ecology and host relationship of tick species livestock. Bull. Entomol. Res., 71: 339-359.



- Rajput, I.Z., Hu, S., Chen, W., Arijo, G.A. and Xiao, C. 2006. Importance of ticks and their chemical and immunological control in livestock. *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci.*, 7: 912-921.
- Ramsi, G.R., Glinsharifodini. M. and Sarvi, S. 2007. Prevalence of ixodid tick on cattle in Mazandaran province, Iran, Korean. *J. Parasitol.*, **45**: 307-310.
- Sileshe, M.W., Pegram, R.G., Solomon, G., Abebe, Yilma, J., and Sileshi, Z. 2007. A synthesis review of Ixodid (Acari: Ixodidae) and Argasid (Acari: Argasidae) ticks in Ethiopia and their possible role in transmission of disease. *J. Ethiopian Vet.*, 11: 1-24.
- Solomon, G. and Kassa, G. 2001. Development of a reproductive capacity and survival of *Amblyomma vareigartum* and *Boophilus decoloratus* in relation host resistance and climatic factor under dfifferent field condition. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 7: 241-253.
- Tadesse, B. and Sultan, A. 2014. Prevalence and distribution of tick infestation on cattle at Fitche Selale, North Shewa, Ethiopia. *Anim. Vet. Sci.*, 2(4): 124-129.
- Tamiru, T. and Abebaw, G. 2010. Prevalence of ticks on local and cross breed cattle in and around Asella town, southeast Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Vet. J.*, **14(2)**:79-89.

- Tesema. T. and Gashawu. A. 2010. Prevalence of ticks on local and cross breed cattle in and around Asella town, South east Ethiopia, Amber Animals Health Departments, East Gojjam of Ethiopia. *Vet. J.*, **14(2):** 79-89.
- Tesfahey wet, Z.S. and Simeon, H.O. 2013. Prevalence of ectoparasite infestations of cattle in Bench Maji zone, Southwest Ethiopia. *Vet. World*, **6(6)**: 291-294.
- Thrusfield, M. 1995. *Veterinary Epidemiology*, 3rd ed. Blackwell publishing, London. Black Well science Ltd. p. 150-192.
- Tiki, B. and Addis, M. 2011. Distribution of Ixodid ticks on cattle in and Around Holetta Town, Ethiopia. *Global Vet.*, **7(6)**: 527-531.
- Walker, A.A. Bouatour, A., Camicas, J.L. and Estadapena, A.A., Harok, I.G., Hatif, A.A., Pegram, R.G. and Preton, P.M. 2003. Ticks of domestic animals in Africa: A guide to identification species, the University of Edinburgh, UK. p. 27-63.
- Wasihun, P. and Doda, D. 2013. Study on prevalence and identification of ticks in Humbo district, Southern Nations, Nationalities, and People's Region (SNNPR), Ethiopia. *J. Vet. Med. Anim. Hlth.*, **5(3)**: 73-80.