### Integrated Nutrient Management in Baby Corn and its Residual Effect on Green Gram Under Rainfed Ecosystem of Odisha

Anita Mahapatra\*1, Gourang Charan Mishra<sup>2</sup> and Arun Kumar Barik<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar -751003, Odisha, India <sup>3</sup>Institute of Agriculture, Palli Siksha Bhavan, Sriniktan, Visva Bharati, West Bengal, India

\*Corresponding author: anita.mahapatra100@gmail.com (ORCID ID: 0000-0003-0008-7284)

Paper No. 699

Received: 12-04-2018

Accepted: 14-05-2018

#### ABSTRACT

Experimental results revealed that integrated application of 75% RDF + vermicompost @ 2.5 t/ha + mixed bio-fertilizers (*Azosirillum* + *Azotobacter* + phosphorus solubilizing bacteria) significantly augmented the baby corn yield attributes along with baby corn (1.50 t/ha) and green forage (26.03 t/ ha) yield. The conjunctive use of 75% RDF + FYM @ 5 t /ha + mixed bio fertilizers applied to preceding baby corn recorded the maximum seed yield (620 kg/ha) and stick yield (950 kg/ha) in green gram being at par with residual effect of 75% RDF + vermicompost @ 2.5 t/ha + mixed bio fertilizers. The system yield was enhanced with application of 75% RDF + vermicompost @ 2.5 t/ha + mixed bio-fertilizers applied to baby corn producing the baby corn equivalent yield (2.20 t/ha) being remained at par with 75% RDF + FYM @ 5 t /ha + mixed bio-fertilizers. Application of 75% RDF + vermicompost @ 2.5 t/ha + mixed bio-fertilizers registered the highest gross return (₹ 159131/ha) in baby corn green gram system when green gram was grown under residual fertility condition. But the combined use of 75% RDF + 5 t FYM/ha + mixed bio fertilizer in preceding baby corn incurred the maximum net return (₹ 102269/ha) and benefit cost ratio of 2.82 in baby corn -green gram sequence.

#### Highlights

- System yield and gross return was enhanced with application of 75% NPK + vermicompost @ 2.5 t/ ha + mixed bio fertilizers.
- 75% RDF + 5 t FYM/ha + mixed bio-fertilizer in preceding baby corn incurred the maximum net return and benefit cost ratio in babycorn -green gram sequence

Keywords: baby corn-green gram system, integrated nutrient management

Baby corn is a delicious and nutritive vegetable rich in carbohydrates, protein, fat, sugar, minerals and vitamins in palatable, wholesome, hygienic and digestible form. Baby corn finds a prominent place in most of the intensive cropping systems due to its short duration, wider adaptability, high yielding ability and fast growing habit enabling it a potential alternative for diversification and value addition. Integrated nutrient management as one of the production technology contributes substantially towards higher productivity and maintenance of soil fertility. The productivity of cropping system depends on efficient utilization of residual and cumulative nutrients. Judicious combination of organics like FYM, vermicompost and green manures with bio-fertilizers and chemical fertilizers will facilitate profitable and sustainable production. In addition to NPK, sulphur is becoming a limiting factor in crop production due to it's deficiency by use of high yielding varieties and sulphur free fertilizers along with low or no use of organic source of nutrients in intensive cropping systems thereby needs prioritization in plant nutrition (Nader and Nadia 2011). Among the micronutrients,



zinc deficiency appears to be the most widespread owing to intensive agricultural practices, use of high purity NPK fertilizers and limited or no application of Zinc by farmers (Rakshit et al. 2017; Meena et al. 2013). Boron is essential for the development of reproductive tissues and its deficiency results in low grain set. Pulses are considered as rich source of protein for vegetarian people and maintain soil fertility through biological nitrogen fixation. Green gram grown both as a catch crop in between two main seasons can be suitably grown after baby corn in residual soil moisture and fertility condition under rainfed ecosystem. The information related to integrated nutrient management in baby corn and its residual effect on green gram is scanty. Therefore, the present investigation was undertaken with an objective to find out the effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of baby corn and it's residual effect on green gram under rainfed ecosystem in Odisha.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in the Agronomy Main Research Station, College of Agriculture, Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha. The experimental soil was acidic (pH-5.1) sandy loam in texture, low in organic carbon (0.45 %) and low in available N (126.46 kg /ha), K (114.7 kg /ha), S (18.24 kg/ha), Zn(1.84 kg/ ha) and B (1.24 kg/ha) and medium in available P (14.57 kg/ha), The field experiment was laid out in factorial randomized block design with 20 treatment combinations in the plot size of 5.4 m x 4.5 m. The baby corn variety, VL Baby Corn 1 and green gram variety, Durga were the test varieties in baby corn - green gram cropping sequence. The experiment was conducted in 2012 and 2013 with twenty treatments combination comprised of fertility levels of recommended dose of fertiliser (120:60:60 N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:  $K_2O$  Kg /ha), 75% recommended dose of fertiliser + 5 t FYM/ha + Azospirillum + Azotobacter + Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria,75% recommended dose of fertiliser + vermicompost @ 2.5 t/ha + Azosirillum + Azotobacter + Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria and 75% recommended dose of fertilizer + green manuring with sunhemp + Azosirillum + Azotobacter + Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria and secondary and micronutrient levels of no secondary and micronutrient, 5 kg Zn/ha, 40 kg S/ha, 40 kg S/ha +

5 kg Zn /ha and 40 kg S/ha + 5 kg Zn/ha + 2.5 kg B/ ha. After harvest of baby corn, the green gram was sown on under residual environmental condition. The biometric observations were recorded on baby corn and green gram at harvest. The economics of cultivation was computed basing upon the prevailing market prices of the local area.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Effect of Fertility levels on yield and yield attributes of baby corn

The data depicted in Table 1 indicated that application of integrated nutrient management practice's exerted the significant effect on pooled yield attributes and green forage yield of baby corn along with baby corn yield during 2012 and 2013 as well as it's pooled value. The combined application of 75% RDF + organic manure as vermicompost @ 2.5 t/ha with + mixed biofertilizer (Azotobacter + Azospirillum + Phosphorous solubilizing bacteria) markedly augmented the yield attributes like number of cobs /plant (2.82), length of baby corn (9.28 cm), girth of baby corn (4.99 cm) and baby corn weight (8.45 g). It corroborated with the findings of Thavaprakash et al. (2015). Integrated use of 75% RDF + vermicompost @ 2.5 t/ha with mixed bio fertilizers increased the baby corn yield during both the years and pooled yield of baby corn (1.50 t/ha) and green forage (26.03 t/ha). It was in agreement with the findings of Thavaprakash *et al.* (2015); Dadarwal et al. (2009) and Rasool et al. (2015). The said treatment was found to remain at par with 75% RDF + FYM @ 5 t/ha with mixed bio-fertilizers and 100% RDF. The favorable effect of integrated nutrient supply in improving the yield components of baby corn was resulted in enhancement of baby corn yield. Increase in yield and its attributes observed with integrated application of inorganic, organic and bio -fertilizer is ascribed to better translocation, utilization of applied nutrients which increased sink capacity and partitioning of photosynthates.

# Effect of secondary and micronutrient levels on yield and yield attributes of baby corn

The pooled yield attributes of baby corn such as number of cobs/plant (2.88), length of baby corn (9.32 cm), girth of baby corn (5.01 cm) and baby corn weight (8.53 g) and pooled baby corn yield (1.54 t/

| Table 1: Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and yield attributes of baby corn (Average value of |
|--|
| two years)   |
|  |

| Treatments   | Baby corn | Baby corn<br>length (cm) | Baby corn<br>girth(cm) | Baby corn  | Baby corn yield (t/ha) |      |        | Green                  |
|--|-----------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------|------|--------|------------------------|
|  | / plant   |                          |                        | weight (g) | 2012                   | 2013 | Pooled | forage yield<br>(t/ha) |
| Fertility levels   |           |                          |                        |            |                        |      |        |                        |
| RDF (120:60:60 N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O<br>Kg/ha) | 2.76      | 9.17                     | 4.84                   | 8.23       | 1.29                   | 1.58 | 1.44   | 25.59                  |
| 75% RDF + 5 t FYM/ ha+ Azs +<br>Azb + PSB                                  | 2.79      | 9.24                     | 4.89                   | 8.27       | 1.31                   | 1.64 | 1.48   | 25.65                  |
| 75 % RDF + 2.5 t VC /ha + Azs<br>+ Azb+ PSB                                | 2.82      | 9.28                     | 4.99                   | 8.45       | 1.33                   | 1.65 | 1.50   | 26.03                  |
| 75 % RDF + GM (Sunhemp) +<br>Azs + Azb+ PSB                                | 2.66      | 8.76                     | 4.61                   | 7.99       | 1.18                   | 1.47 | 1.32   | 24.66                  |
| SEm <u>+</u>   | 0.03      | 0.07                     | 0.06                   | 0.07       | 0.03                   | 0.04 | 0.02   | 0.30                   |
| CD (P=0.05)  | 0.08      | 0.22                     | 0.18                   | 0.21       | 0.09                   | 0.13 | 0.07   | 0.88                   |
| Secondary and Micronutrient  | levels    |                          |                        |            |                        |      |        |                        |
| No nutrient  | 2.50      | 8.68                     | 4.63                   | 7.82       | 1.06                   | 1.43 | 1.24   | 24.65                  |
| 5 kg Zn/ha   | 2.79      | 9.17                     | 4.88                   | 8.18       | 1.27                   | 1.61 | 1.42   | 25.64                  |
| 40 kg S/ha   | 2.73      | 9.16                     | 4.77                   | 8.15       | 1.25                   | 1.58 | 1.43   | 25.13                  |
| 40 kg S/ha+ 5 kg Zn/ha   | 2.88      | 9.23                     | 4.87                   | 8.51       | 1.37                   | 1.65 | 1.52   | 25.83                  |
| 40 kg S/ha+ 5 kg Zn/ha +2.5<br>kg B/ha                                     | 2.88      | 9.32                     | 5.01                   | 8.53       | 1.44                   | 1.66 | 1.54   | 26.17                  |
| SEm <u>+</u>   | 0.03      | 0.08                     | 0.07                   | 0.08       | 0.03                   | 0.04 | 0.03   | 0.33                   |
| CD (P=0.05)  | 0.09      | 0.24                     | 0.20                   | 0.23       | 0.10                   | 0.15 | 0.08   | 0.99                   |
| Interaction(FxM)   |           |                          |                        |            |                        |      |        |                        |
| SEm <u>+</u>   | 0.06      | 0.16                     | 0.13                   | 0.16       | 0.07                   | 0.09 | 0.05   | 6.71                   |
| CD (P=0.05)  | NS        | NS                       | NS                     | NS         | NS                     | NS   | NS     | NS                     |

RDF- Recommended dose of fertilizer, FYM- Farm yard manure, VC- Vermicompost, GM- Green manuring, Azs- Azospirillum, Azb-Azotobacter, PSB- Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria.

ha) as well as green fodder yield (26.17 t/ha) were the highest with application of 40 kg S/ha + 5kg Zn/ha + 2.5 kg B/ha (Table 1). The increased yield attributes due to sulphur and zinc nutrition resulted in chlorophyll formation which had positive effect on photosynthesis, translocation of metabolites and growth regulating substances, oxidation and metabolic activities, there by augmented the yield attributes and yield. The favourable effect of applied S, Zn and B had positive influence on physiological and metabolic process of plant which ultimately augmented baby corn and fodder yield. This result was in pipeline with earlier work done by Kumar and Bhora (2014).

## Residual effect of fertility levels on yield and yield attributes of green gram

The mean yield attributing characters and yield in

2012 and 2013 along with pooled stover yield of green gram were significantly affected by carry over effect of integrated use of inorganic and organic sources of nutrients along with mixed bio fertilizers (Table 2). Application of 75% RDF + FYM@ 5 t/ha + mixed bio fertilizers applied to preceding baby corn recorded the maximum number of branches/ plant (3.61), pods/plant (6.71), seeds/pod (16.52) and 1000 seed weight (34.01 g) consequently increased the seed yield (620 kg/ha) and stover yield (950 kg/ ha). It was followed by residual effect of 75% RDF + vermicompost @ 2.5 t/ha + mixed bio fertilizers with respect to yield attributes and yield. It was possible due to favourable carry over effect of INM treatment in increasing the crop growth which in turn boosted the yield components there by enhanced the yield. The higher residual availability of nutrients under the treatments receiving the organic sources in



| Treatments   | Branches /<br>plant | Pods/plant | Seeds/pod | 1000 seed<br>weight | Seed yield (kg/ha) |      |        | Stover yield<br>(t/ha) |
|--|---------------------|------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|------|--------|------------------------|
|  | 1                   |            |           | (g) _               | 2012               | 2013 | Pooled | _                      |
| Fertility levels   |                     |            |           |                     |                    |      |        |                        |
| RDF (120:60:60 N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O<br>Kg/ha) | 3.39                | 6.25       | 15.45     | 33.68               | 514                | 531  | 523    | 802                    |
| 75% RDF + 5 t FYM/ ha+ Azs<br>+ Azb + PSB                                  | 3.61                | 6.71       | 16.52     | 34.01               | 595                | 645  | 620    | 950                    |
| 75 % RDF + 2.5 t VC/ha +<br>Azs + Azb+ PSB                                 | 3.56                | 6.57       | 16.09     | 33.86               | 577                | 642  | 609    | 930                    |
| 75% RDF + GM (Sunhemp)<br>+ Azs + Azb+ PSB                                 | 3.43                | 6.43       | 15.84     | 33.73               | 566                | 636  | 601    | 896                    |
| SEm <u>+</u>   | 0.03                | 0.06       | 0.11      | 0.31                | 10.2               | 7.1  | 7.8    | 13                     |
| CD(P=0.05)   | 0.09                | 0.19       | 0.34      | 0.91                | 29.3               | 20.2 | 23     | 38                     |
| Secondary and Micronutrien   | t levels            |            |           |                     |                    |      |        |                        |
| No nutrient  | 3.44                | 6.05       | 15.41     | 33.21               | 508                | 569  | 539    | 818                    |
| 5 kg Zn/ha   | 3.46                | 6.37       | 15.77     | 33.30               | 549                | 594  | 571    | 875                    |
| 40 kg S/ha   | 3.49                | 6.49       | 16.12     | 33.97               | 572                | 614  | 593    | 908                    |
| 40 kg S/ha+ 5 kg Zn/ha   | 3.53                | 6.73       | 16.25     | 34.20               | 584                | 636  | 610    | 924                    |
| 40 kg S/ha+ 5 kg Zn/ha +2.5<br>kg B/ha                                     | 3.55                | 6.80       | 16.33     | 34.41               | 602                | 653  | 627    | 949                    |
| SEm <u>+</u>   | 0.03                | 0.07       | 0.13      | 0.34                | 11.5               | 7.9  | 8.7    | 14                     |
| CD(P=0.05)   | NS                  | 0.21       | 0.38      | 1.02                | 32.8               | 22.7 | 26.0   | 42                     |
| Interaction (F×M)  |                     |            |           |                     |                    |      |        |                        |
| SEm <u>+</u>   | 0.06                | 0.14       | 0.26      | 0.69                | 22.9               | 15.8 | 17.4   | 28.8                   |
| CD(P=0.05)   | NS                  | NS         | NS        | NS                  | NS                 | NS   | NS     | NS                     |

**Table 2:** Residual effect of integrated nutrient management in corn on yield and yield attributes of green gram

 (Average value of two years)

RDF- Recommended dose of fertilizer, FYM- Farm yard manure, VC- Vermicompost, GM- Green manuring, Azs- Azospirillum, Azb-Azotobacter, PSB- Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria.

the form of FYM, vermicompost was possible due to addition of organic matter serving as the store house of nutrients. Similar positive residual effect of organic sources of nutrient applied to previous crop in increasing the yield and yield attributes of green gram was evidenced from the work of Meena *et al.* (2012).

### Residual effect of secondary and micronutrient levels on yield and yield attributes of green gram

The combined application of 40 kg S/ha + 5 kg Zn/ha + 2.5 kg B/ha to baby corn exerted significant effect in increasing the yield components like number of branches /plant (3.55), number of pods /plant (6.80), number of seeds/pod (16.33) and test weight (34.41 g) along with seed (627 kg/ha) and stover (949 kg/ ha) yield of green gram grown under residual fertility (Table 2). The favourable carry over effect of secondary and micronutrients resulted in increasing the yield attributes which reflected seed yield of green gram. Similar favourable residual effect of RDF with FYM or vermicompost + zinc sulphate + ferrous sulphate applied to baby corn had positive effect on chickpea in increasing the yield and yield attributing characters reported earlier by Asoka *et al.* (2008).

### Baby corn – green gram system yield

System yield in baby corn – green gram system expressed in baby corn equivalent yield (BEY) was significantly influenced by adoption of integrated nutrient management practices (Table 3). The pooled system yield was augmented with 75% RDF + vermicompost @ 2. 5 t/ha + mixed bio fertilizers applied to baby corn producing the BEY (2.20 t/

IJAEB

**Table 3:** System yield and pooled economics as influenced by integrated nutrient management in baby corn and<br/>its residual Effect on green gram in baby corn- green gram sequence (Average value of two years

| Treatments  | System yield in baby corn<br>equivalent yield (t/ha) |      |        | Cost of cultivation | Gross<br>Return | Net Return<br>(₹/ha) | Benefit- cost<br>ratio |
|---|--|------|--------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| -   | 2012   | 2013 | pooled | (₹/ha)              | (₹/ha)          | ((/114)              |                        |
| Fertility levels  |  |      |        |                     |                 |                      |                        |
| RDF (120:60:60 N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O Kg/ha) | 1.89   | 2.20 | 2.05   | 55054               | 149288          | 94234                | 2.71                   |
| 75% RDF + 5 t FYM/ha+ Azs + Azb +<br>PSB                                | 2.00   | 2.39 | 2.19   | 56019               | 158288          | 102269               | 2.82                   |
| 75% RDF + 2.5 t VC/ha + Azs + Azb<br>+ PSB                              | 2.01   | 2.40 | 2.20   | 57029               | 159131          | 102102               | 2.79                   |
| 75% RDF + GM (Sunhemp) + Azs +<br>Azb+ PSB                              | 1.84   | 2.21 | 2.03   | 54779               | 147100          | 92321                | 2.68                   |
| SEm <u>+</u>  | 0.03   | 0.04 | 0.03   | _                   | 1626            | 1626                 | 0.02                   |
| CD(P=0.05)  | 0.09   | 0.13 | 0.08   | _                   | 4813            | 4813                 | NS                     |
| Secondary and Micronutrient levels                                      |  |      |        |                     |                 |                      |                        |
| No nutrient   | 1.65   | 2.09 | 1.87   | 53844               | 137823          | 83979                | 2.56                   |
| 5 kg Zn/ha  | 1.91   | 2.30 | 2.10   | 54904               | 152675          | 97771                | 2.78                   |
| 40 kg S/ha  | 1.92   | 2.30 | 2.11   | 55569               | 152610          | 97041                | 2.75                   |
| 40 kg S/ha+ 5 kg Zn/ha  | 2.05   | 2.39 | 2.22   | 56810               | 160002          | 103192               | 2.82                   |
| 40 kg S/ha+ 5 kg Zn/ha +2.5 kg B/ha                                     | 2.15   | 2.42 | 2.28   | 57475               | 164151          | 106676               | 2.86                   |
| SEm <u>+</u>  | 0.03   | 0.05 | 0.03   | _                   | 1818            | 1818                 | 0.03                   |
| CD(P=0.05)  | 0.10   | 0.14 | 0.09   | _                   | 5381            | 5381                 | 0.10                   |
| Interaction(FxM)  |  |      |        |                     |                 |                      |                        |
| SEm <u>+</u>  | 0.10   | 0.14 | 0.06   |                     | 3636            | 3636                 | 0.07                   |
| CD(P=0.05)  | NS   | NS   | NS     |                     | NS              | NS                   | NS                     |

RDF- Recommended dose of fertilizer, FYM- Farm yard manure, VC- Vermicompost , GM- Green manuring, Azs- Azospirillum, Azb-Azotobacter, PSB- Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria.

ha) being remained at par with 75% RDF + FYM @ 5t/ha + mixed bio fertilizers when green gram was grown with carryover effect. It was possible due to conjunctive use of organic manure and inorganic NPK sources of nutrient mixed with bio fertilizers applied to baby corn enhanced the yield of baby corn and succeeding green gram under residual condition which ultimately increased the system productivity. It was in agreement with the findings of Meena et al. (2012). Application of 40 kg S + 5 kg Zn/ha + 2.5 kg B/ha remarkably increased the system yield in terms of BEY (2.28 t/ha) when green gram succeeded baby corn with residual fertility condition. The judicious use of S + Zn + B in balanced manner increased the efficiency of NPK and added secondary and micronutrient in deficient soil resulted in enhancement of system yield which as reported alike by Shukla (2011).

### Economics in Baby corn – green gram system

Integrated use of 75 % RDF + vermicompost @ 2.5 t/ha + mixed bio fertilizers registered the highest gross return (₹ 159131/ha) but use of 75 % RDF + 5 t FYM/ha + mixed bio fertilizer gave maximum net return (₹ 102269/ha) and benefit cost ratio (B:C) of 2.82 (Table 3). Application of 40 kg S/ha + 5 kg Zn/ha + 2.5 kg B/ha gave the highest gross return (₹ 164151/ha), net return (₹ 106676/ha) and benefitcost ratio of 2.86. This was mainly attributed to the higher system yield obtained through improvement in soil health by judicious integrated nutrient management approach using 75% inorganic NPK with organic manure (FYM or vermicompost) and mixed bio fertilizers along with combination of secondary nutrient (S)+ micro nutrients (Zn and B). Similar favourable effect of inorganic and organic nutrient applied to baby corn and potato and green



#### Mahapatra et al.

gram grown under residual fertility condition in baby corn – potato - green gram sequence was recorded by Meena *et al.* 2012. Asoka *et al.* 2008 also obtained the maximum system economics with combined use of RDF + vermicompost / FYM + zinc sulphate + ferrous sulphate applied to baby corn and chickpea was grown in residual soil fertility in baby corn – chickpea system.

### CONCLUSION

It is concluded that integrated application of 75% recommended dose of NPK + FYM @ 5 t/ha or vermi compost @ 2.5 t/ha + mixed bio fertilizer along with combination of 40 kg S/ha + Zn @ 5 kg/ha + B @ 2.5 kg/ha applied to preceding baby corn was found suitable in increasing the yield and economics of baby corn and green gram when green gram was grown under residual fertility condition under baby corn- green gram sequence.

### REFERENCES

- Ashoka, P., Mudalagiriyappa, B.T., Hugar, P.S. and Desai, B.K. 2008. Effect of Micronutrients With or Without Organic Manures on Yield of Baby Corn (*Zea mays* L.) – Chickpea (*Cicer artietinum* L.) Sequence. *Karnataka Journal* of Agricultural Science, 21(4): 485-487.
- Dadarwal, R.S., Jain, N.K. and Singh, D. 2009. Integrated Nutrient Management in Baby Corn (*Zea mays*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **79**(12): 1023-5.
- Kumar, R. and Bohra, J.S. 2014. Effect of NPKS and Zn application on growth, yield, economics and quality of baby corn. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, **60**(9): 1193-1206.

- Meena, S.R., Kumar, A., Jat, B.P., Meena, B.P., Rana, D.S. and Idanani, L.K. 2012. Influence of nutrient sources on growth, producyivity and economics of baby corn (*Zea* mays)-potato (*Solanum tuberosum*)-mung bean (*Vigna* radiata) cropping system. Indian Journal of Agronomy, 57(3): 217-221.
- Meena, S.K., Mundra, S.L. and Singh, P. 2013. Response of maize (*Zea mays*) to nitrogen and zinc fertilization. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, **58**: 127-128.
- Nader, R.H. and Nadia, M.H. 2011. Effect of elemental Sulphur and partial substitution of N-Mineral fertilizer by organic amendments on some properties of slight saline soils. *Journal of Applied Sciences and Research*, **7**: 2102-2111.
- Rakshit, A., Abhilash, P.C., Singh, H.B. and Ghosh, S. 2017. Adaptive Soil Management : From Theory to Practices, 571p Springer-Verlag Singapore, ISBN 978-9811036378.
- Rasool, S., Kanth, R.H., Hamid, S., Raja, W., Alie, B.A. and Z.A. Dar. 2015. Influence of Integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of sweet corn (*Zea mays* L. Saccharata) under temperate conditions of Kashmir valley. *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 7(5): 315-325.
- Shukla, A.K. 2011. Micronutrient research in India: Current status and future strategy. *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science*, **59**: S88-S98.
- Thavaprakash, N., Velayudham, K. and Muthukumar, V.B. 2005. Effect of crop geometry, intercropping systems and integrated nutrient management practices on productivity of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) based intercropping systems. *Research Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences*, 1(4): 295-302.