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#### Abstract

Recognising the potential of hybrid rice to increase the productivity, the present investigation was conducted using three WA CMS lines (Pusa 6A, IR79156A and IR 68897A) and 31 male genotypes. The results manifested that the magnitude of heterosis for grain yield over better parent was significantly superior in eight hybrids with highest value of $60.83 \%$ in Pusa 6A x HUR-105. Sixteen hybrids showed significant positive heterosis over standard variety (NDR-97) with highest value of $116.48 \%$ in Pusa 6A x HUR-105. Twelve hybrids showed significant positive heterosis over standard hybrid (Arize-6444) with highest value of $85.69 \%$ in Pusa 6A x HUR-105. The top two high yielding heterotic crosses over the standard variety (NDR-97) were Pusa-6A x HUR-105 (116.48\%) and Pusa-6A x Pantdhan-12 (114.74\%). These two hybrids also exhibited significant positive heterosis for yield over standard hybrid (Arize 6444 Gold) i.e. $85.69 \%$ and $84.19 \%$ respectively. Hybrids Pusa-6A x HUR-105 and Pusa-6A x Pantdhan-12 showed significant positive standard heterosis for almost all the desirable yield attributing traits, apart from this Pusa-6A x Pantdhan-12 also showed significant negative standard heterosis over SH (Arize-6444) for days to $1^{\text {st }}$ flowering, days to $50 \%$ flowering and days to maturity. The top heterotic crosses viz., Pusa-6A x HUR-105, Pusa-6A x Pantdhan-12, Pusa-6A x URG-30, IR-79156A x Akshaydhan and Pusa-6A x NDR-359 and others which expressed higher standard heterosis for grain yield along with other desirable yield components should be tested in large scale under rainfed condition.


## Highlights

- Hybrids, Pusa 6A $\times$ HUR-105 and Pusa 6A $\times$ Pantdhan-12 exhibited significant heterobeltiosis for yield and yield component traits.
- Hybrids, Pusa 6 A $\times$ URG-30 and Pusa 6 A $\times$ IET-22202 are short in their duration which is best suitable for rainfed condition. So, these hybrids may further be tested on large scale under rainfed conditions.
- IR 79156A $\times$ Akshaydhan may also be promoted in rainfed areas for large scale testing as these hybrids are much better than the standard checks NDR-97 and Arize-6444 Gold.
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Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is one of the major staple food crops for about $65 \%$ of the world's population (Kumar et al. 2014). The productivity of rice has now stagnated. The present world rice area, production and productivity are $161.6 \mathrm{Mha}, 480.7$ Mt and 2.9 t/ha, respectively (USDA, Rice Outlook, 2015). In India, rice is grown in 44.0 Mha with the production of 106.0 Mt and productivity of 2.4 t / ha. It contributes $25 \%$ to agricultural GDP (USDA,

Rice Outlook, 2015). In crop breeding, the use of hybrid vigour in first-generation seeds (or $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ ) is well known. However, until about 30 years ago, its application in rice was limited because of the selfpollination character of the crop. In 1974, Chinese scientists successfully transferred the male sterility gene from wild rice to create the cytoplasmic genetic male-sterile (CMS) line and hybrid combination (Lin and Yuan, 1980). The first generations of hybrid rice
varieties are three-line hybrids and given about 15 to 20 percent grain yield over the existing highyielding varieties. In China, the area planted to hybrid rice is around 17.0 million hectares, which constitutes about $57 \%$ of the total rice area and has an average output capacity of 7.5 tonnes per hectare. At present India is growing hybrid rice in area of 2.50 mha , which is $5.70 \%$ of total rice acreage and has an average output capacity of 4.79 t/ha (USDA Post, 2015). Even though hybrid rice programme was initiated in 1994 in India, the area and production of the hybrid varieties are slowly growing among the many states, which haven't even achieved 5 percent level of total rice cultivated area (FAO, 2014). It is expected that the area under hybrids in India will increase substantially and contribute towards increasing rice production. In about two decades of extensive efforts, India has so far released 78 rice hybrids by both public and private sectors for commercial cultivation (Directorate of Rice Development Report, 2015, Patna). These hybrids have yield advantage of 1.0$1.5 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{ha}$ over the highest yielding inbred varieties. Most of the existing promising hybrids started giving low yield under biotic and abiotic stresses especially under drought stress. So, developing hybrid rice varieties with stable yield even under drought stress is essential to meet the increasing food demand for the growing population. Here in this study, we have initiated hybrid breeding program to achieve the goal of developing a best heterotic combinations under rainfed conditions.

## Materials and methods

The parental material comprises of three Wild Abortive (WA) CMS lines viz., Pusa 6A, IR79156A and IR 68897A crossed with 31 male genotypes in kharif-2014. Only 56 cross combinations could be made perfectly. Hence, the experiment was conducted in Kharif - 2015 with 56 experimental hybrids, parents and two checks, NDR-97(inbred variety) and Arise-6444Gold (popular hybrid) in a single row of 3 mt . plot length with spacing of $15 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ in R.B.D. design and 3 replications at Agriculture farm, IAS, BHU, Varanasi. All the recommended agronomic practices were adopted to raise the crop under rainfed condition. No irrigation was provided to the crop after transplanting for posing water stress. Out of 56 hybrids, only 17 were found as complete restorers as per the classification
of Virmani et al. (1997). Observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants for estimation of magnitude of heterosis with respect to fifteen quantitative traits viz., days to $1^{\text {st }}$ flowering, days to $50 \%$ flowering, days to maturity, tillers/plant, effective tillers/plant, plant height (cm), panicle length (cm), spikelets/panicle, grains/panicle, sterile spikelets/panicle, pollen fertility(\%), spikelet fertility (\%), grain weight/ panicle (g), 1000- grain weight (g) and grain yield/plant (g). The character means of each replication was subjected for analysis of variance (Panse and Sukhatme, 1967) and estimation of heterosis over better parent, standard variety and standard hybrid was done.

## Results and discussion

The analysis of variance (Table 1) for 33 entries (2 female lines; 2 check varieties;12 male lines and 17 full fertile crosses) was done for fifteen characters viz., days to $1^{\text {st }}$ flowering, days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, plant height (cm), number of tillers/plant, number of effective tillers/ plant, panicle length(cm), number of spikelets/ panicle, number of grains/panicle, sterile spikelet/ panicle, pollen fertility (\%), spikelet fertility (\%),grain weight/panicle,1000- grain weight(g), grain yield / plant(g). Analysis of variance for the treatments revealed that all the genotypes expressed significant differences for all traits.

For days to $1^{\text {st }}$ flowering, negative value of heterosis is desirable as early flowering is usually associated with early maturity which enhances the productivity per day per unit area. Out of 17 hybrids, 13 crosses showed significant negative heterosis over SH (Arize-6444 Gold). Pusa-6A × Danteshwari (-13.07\%) exhibited highest negative significant heterosis for days to $1^{\text {st }}$ flowering over SH (Arize-6444 Gold) (Table 2). Viraktamath (1987) and Sen and Singh (2011) also reported negative heterosis for earliness in rice hybrids. For days to 50 percent flowering, 14 crosses showed significant negative heterosis over SH (Arize-6444 Gold). Pusa-6A $\times$ Danteshwari $(-14.43 \%)$ exhibited highest negative significant heterosis over SH (Arize-6444 Gold) for this trait (Table 2). These results were in the agreement of Patel et al. (1994), Lingaraju et al. (1999), Viraktamath (1987), Young and Virmani (1990), Patil et al. (2003), Sen and Singh (2011), Sharma et al. (2013) and Singh et al. (2013). The negative value of heterosis
Table 1: ANOVA of combining ability (Line $x$ Tester mating design) for different characters in rice

| Source of Variations | df | Days to $1^{\text {st }}$ <br> Flowering | Days to 50\% Flowering | Days to Maturity | Plant <br> Height (cm) | Tillers Plant ${ }^{-1}$ | Effective Tillers Plant ${ }^{-1}$ | Panicle <br> Length (cm) | Spikelets <br> Panicle ${ }^{-1}$ | Grains <br> Panicle ${ }^{-1}$ | Sterile Spikelets Panicle ${ }^{-1}$ | Grain <br> Weight <br> Panicle ${ }^{-1}$ <br> (g) | 1000 <br> Grain <br> Weight | Grain Yield Plant $^{-1}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grain Yield } \\ & \operatorname{Plot}^{-1}(\mathrm{~g}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Replicates | 2 | 4.31 | 5.28 | 0.92 | 17.16 | 0.49 | 1.38 | 1.20 | 153.22 | 119.30 | 1.47 | 0.04 | 0.29 | 5.97 | 1463.05 |
| Treatments | 32 | 208.54*** | 219.93*** | 216.83*** | 410.71*** | 7.35*** | 6.09*** | 27.55*** | 6838.08*** | 4495.65*** | $650.30^{* * *}$ | $2.03^{* * *}$ | 16.71*** | 100.70*** | 36345.93 *** |
| Hybrids | 16 | 64.77*** | 70.13 *** | $75.00^{* * *}$ | 418.25*** | $7.74 * *$ | 7.43*** | $13.66{ }^{* * *}$ | 6782.23*** | 4416.82*** | $616.66{ }^{* * *}$ | $1.54 * * *$ | $7.56^{* * *}$ | 83.88*** | 31446.99*** |
| Parents | 13 | 383.69* | 397.81*** | 387.82*** | 280.57*** | 7.01*** | $3.64 *$ | $31.24 * * *$ | 3002.41*** | 2479.55*** | 503.81 | $1.54^{* * *}$ | 30.79*** | 43.13*** | 14660.39*** |
| Hybrids vs. Parents | 1 | 0.17 | 1.23 | 9.54* | 1933.81*** | 14.12* | 15.95*** | 199.16*** | 44967.00*** | 26200.80*** | 2518.37*** | 13.16*** | 7.19*** | 1193.78*** | 424690.81*** |
| Checks | 1 | 560.66*** | 661.50*** | 620.17*** | 495.77*** | 2.67 | 11.56 *** | 7.55** | 9949.52*** | 7118.07*** | $236.38^{* * *}$ | 3.53*** | 0.03 | 10.64* | 3332.30 |
| Checks vs. Hybrids | 1 | $82.57^{* * *}$ | 73.29*** | $53.83 * * *$ | 809.52*** | 0.999 | 0.04 | 100.08*** | 29256.23*** | 14541.64*** | 2545.31*** | $6.98 * * *$ | $9.01 * * *$ | 324.59*** | 115910.37*** |
| Checks vs. Parents | 1 | 84.02*** | 81.05*** | 76.20*** | 51.01 | 7.74 | 4.44** | 9.96** | 4611.14*** | 1761.53*** | 672.49*** | 0.77*** | $2.85 * * *$ | 1.75 | 652.45 |
| Error | 64 | 2.05 | 2.21 | 2.36 | 13.37 | 2.09 | 0.51 | 0.93 | 102.86 | 129.86 | 16.01 | 0.06 | 0.19 | 2.53 | 1035.15 |
| Total | 98 | 69.52 | 73.36 | 72.36 | 143.19 | 3.77 | 2.35 | 9.63 | 2303.14 | 1555.21 | 222.83 | 0.70 | 5.59 | 34.66 | 12573.93 |

* Significant at 5\% level, ** significant at $1 \%$ level and ${ }^{* * *}$ significant at $0.1 \%$ level
Table 2: Estimates of per se performance, heterobeltosis and standard heterosis for yield and yield components in 17 hybrids of rice.

|  | Characters | Days to $1^{\text {st }}$ Flowering |  |  |  | Days to 50\% Flowering |  |  |  | Days to Maturity |  |  |  | Plant Height(cm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Crosses | Mean | BP | NDR-97 | Arize 6444 | Mean | BP | NDR-97 | Arize <br> 6444 | Mean | BP | NDR-97 | Arize <br> 6444 | Mean | BP | NDR-97 | Arize <br> 6444 |
| 1 | IR-79156A x <br> Akshaydhan | 94.33 | 17.43** | 25.78** | 0.00 | 99.00 | 19.28** | 26.38** | -0.34 | 125.00 | 13.29** | 18.30** | -0.79 | 122.15 | 19.74** | 40.14** | 15.96** |
| 2 | IR-79156A $\times$ IR-36 | 86.33 | 7.47** | 15.11** | -8.48** | 91.33 | 10.04** | 16.60** | -8.05** | 117.33 | 6.34** | 11.04** | -6.88** | 97.66 | 5.45 | 12.04** | -7.29* |
| 3 | IR-79156A x URG-42 | 87.33 | 8.71** | $16.44 * *$ | -7.42** | 91.33 | 10.04** | 16.60** | -8.05** | 118.67 | 7.55** | 12.30** | $-5.82 * *$ | 118.13 | 17.00** | 35.53** | 12.14** |
| 4 | IR-79156A x <br> Danteshwari | 83.33 | 3.73* | 11.11** | -11.66** | 87.00 | 4.82** | 11.06** | -12.42** | 114.67 | 3.93** | 8.52** | -8.99** | 88.41 | 3.69 | 1.43 | $-16.07^{* *}$ |
| 5 | IR-79156A x URG-30 | 84.00 | 7.69** | 12.00** | -10.95** | 88.33 | 7.72** | 12.77** | -11.07** | 113.67 | 3.02** | 7.57** | -9.79** | 102.79 | 2.08 | 17.94** | -2.42 |
| 6 | IR-79156A x BPT-5204 | 90.00 | 12.03** | 20.00** | -4.59** | 94.33 | 13.65** | 20.43** | -5.03** | 120.00 | 8.76** | 13.56** | -4.76** | 108.94 | 27.66** | 24.99** | 3.42 |
| 7 | Pusa-6A x <br> Akshaydhan | 98.33 | 26.07** | 31.11** | 4.24** | 102.33 | 24.80** | 30.64** | 3.02* | 128.33 | 18.83** | 21.45** | 1.85 | 121.82 | 20.65** | 39.76** | 15.64** |
| 8 | Pusa-6A x IR-36 | 84.67 | 8.55** | 12.89** | -10.25** | 88.00 | 7.32** | 12.34** | -11.41** | 111.00 | 2.78* | 5.05** | -11.90** | 100.47 | 8.49* | 15.27** | -4.62 |
| 9 | Pusa-6A x URG-42 | 93.33 | 19.66** | $24.44 * *$ | -1.06 | 96.67 | 17.89** | 23.40** | -2.68* | 123.33 | 14.20** | 16.72** | -2.12 * | 105.48 | 4.47 | 21.01** | 0.13 |
| 10 | Pusa-6A x <br> Danteshwari | 82.00 | 5.13** | 9.33** | -13.07** | 85.00 | 3.66* | 8.51** | -14.43** | 112.67 | 4.32** | 6.62** | -10.58** | 87.06 | 2.11 | -0.12 | $-17.36^{* *}$ |
| 11 | Pusa-6A x URG-30 | 83.33 | 6.84** | 11.11** | -11.66** | 86.00 | 4.88** | 9.79** | -13.42** | 112.33 | 4.01** | 6.31** | -10.85** | 114.25 | 13.46** | 31.08** | 8.46** |
| 12 | Pusa-6A x HUR-105 | 94.00 | 20.51** | 25.33** | -0.35 | 98.00 | 19.51** | 25.11** | -1.34 | 125.00 | 15.74** | 18.30** | -0.79 | 116.84 | 21.78** | 34.05** | 10.92** |
| 13 | Pusa-6A $\times$ IET-22202 | 88.33 | 18.30** | 17.78** | -6.36 ** | 93.00 | 18.22** | 18.72** | -6.38** | 118.67 | 9.88** | 12.30** | $-5.82^{* *}$ | 125.94 | $24.73 * *$ | 44.49** | 19.55** |
| 14 | Pusa-6A x <br> Susksamarat | 91.33 | 17.09** | 21.78** | -3.18* | 95.33 | 16.26** | 21.70** | -4.03** | 122.33 | 13.27** | 15.77** | -2.91** | 120.35 | 19.20** | 38.08** | 14.25** |
| 15 | Pusa-6A x IR-64 | 85.67 | 9.83** | 14.22** | -9.19** | 90.67 | 10.57** | 15.74** | -8.72** | 118.67 | 9.88** | 12.30** | -5.82** | 96.79 | 6.60* | 11.05** | -8.12** |
| 16 | Pusa-6A x NDR-359 | 91.00 | 16.67** | 21.33** | $-3.53 * *$ | 94.33 | 15.04** | 20.43** | -5.03** | 122.33 | 13.27** | 15.77** | -2.91** | 110.02 | 11.63** | 26.23** | 4.45 |
| 17 | Pusa-6A x <br> Pantdhan-12 | 88.67 | 13.68** | 18.22** | -6.01** | 92.33 | 12.60** | 17.87** | -7.05** | 119.00 | 10.19** | 12.62** | -5.56 ** | 107.91 | 8.06** | $23.81 * *$ | 2.44 |
|  | Mean | 88.59 | 12.91 | 18.12 | -6.09 | 92.53 | 12.72 | 18.12 | -6.85 | 119.00 | 9.37 | 12.62 | -5.56 | 108.53 | 12.75 | 24.52 | 3.03 |
|  | Range | $\begin{gathered} 82.00 \text { to } \\ 98.33 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.73 \text { to } \\ 26.07 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.33 \text { to } \\ 31.11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -13.07 \text { to } \\ 4.24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85.00 \\ \text { to } \\ 102.33 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.66 \text { to } \\ 24.80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.51 \text { to } \\ 30.64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -14.43 \\ & \text { to } 3.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 111.00 \\ \text { to } \\ 128.33 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.78 \text { to } \\ 18.83 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.05 \text { to } \\ 21.45 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -11.90 \text { to } \\ 1.85 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87.06 \\ \text { to } \\ 125.94 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.08 \text { to } \\ 27.66 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.12 \text { to } \\ 44.49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -17.36 \text { to } \\ 19.55 \end{gathered}$ |

Contd.

| S | Characters | Tillers Plant ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Effective Tillers Plant ${ }^{-1}$ |  |  |  | Panicle length (cm) |  |  |  | Spikelets panicle ${ }^{-1}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Crosses | Mean | BP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BPT } \\ & 5204 \end{aligned}$ | Arize <br> 6444 | Mean | BP | BPT 5204 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Arize } \\ 6444 \end{gathered}$ | Mean | BP | $\begin{array}{r} \text { BPT } \\ 5204 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Arize } \\ 6444 \end{gathered}$ | Mean | BP | BPT 5204 | Arize 6444 |
| 1 | IR-79156A x Akshaydhan | 9.00 | -15.63 | -10.00 | 3.85 | 7.00 | -16.00* | -17.09* | 23.53* | 33.67 | 6.61* | 36.98** | 25.53** | 248.00 | 43.26** | 168.27** | 42.62** |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { IR-79156A x } \\ \text { IR-36 } \end{gathered}$ | 10.00 | -6.25 | 0.00 | 15.38 | 8.00 | -4.00 | -5.25 | 41.18** | 25.24 | -16.52** | 2.69 | -5.90* | 149.00 | -16.71** | 61.18** | -14.31** |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { IR-79156A x } \\ \text { URG-42 } \end{gathered}$ | 7.33 | -31.25** | -26.67* | -15.38 | 5.33 | -36.00** | -36.83** | -5.88 | 30.38 | 0.50 | 23.61** | 13.27** | 210.00 | 21.54** | 127.17** | 20.77** |
| 4 | IR-79156A x <br> Danteshwari | 7.33 | -31.25** | -26.67* | -15.38 | 5.33 | $-36.00^{* *}$ | -36.83** | -5.88 | 28.71 | -5.03 | 16.82** | 7.05* | 217.00 | 26.65** | 134.74** | 24.79** |
| 5 | IR-79156A x <br> URG-30 | 8.67 | -18.75 | -13.33 | 0.00 | 6.00 | -28.00** | -28.94** | 5.88 | 28.10 | -7.07** | 14.31** | 4.75 | 187.00 | 9.14 | 102.29** | 7.54 |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IR-79156A x } \\ & \text { BPT-5204 } \end{aligned}$ | 7.33 | -31.25** | -26.67* | -15.38 | 5.33 | $-36.00^{* *}$ | -36.83** | -5.88 | 29.76 | -1.55 | 21.09** | 10.96** | 339.00 | 61.17** | 266.71** | 94.95** |
| 7 | Pusa-6A x <br> Akshaydhan | 8.33 | -13.79 | -16.67 | -3.85 | 6.67 | -9.09 | -21.04** | 17.65 | 31.98 | 1.27 | 30.12** | 19.24** | 224.67 | 29.78** | 143.03** | 29.20** |
| 8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pusa-6A x } \\ \text { IR-36 } \end{gathered}$ | 8.00 | -17.24 | -20.00 | -7.69 | 6.33 | -13.64 | -24.99** | 11.76 | 29.74 | 5.75* | 20.99** | 10.87** | 194.56 | 8.76 | 110.46** | 11.89* |
| 9 | Pusa-6A x <br> URG-42 | 8.00 | -17.24 | -20.00 | -7.69 | 5.33 | -27.27** | -36.83** | -5.88 | 29.09 | 3.44 | 18.35** | 8.45** | 226.67 | 31.19** | 145.20** | 30.35** |
| 10 | Pusa-6A x <br> Danteshwari | 8.00 | -17.24 | -20.00 | -7.69 | 6.00 | -18.18* | -28.94** | 5.88 | 26.86 | -4.48 | 9.29** | 0.15 | 209.00 | 22.46 ** | 126.08** | 20.19** |
| 11 | Pusa-6A x <br> URG-30 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.38 | 8.33 | 8.70 | -1.30 | 47.06** | 28.80 | 2.42 | 17.18** | 7.38* | 192.00 | 12.50* | 107.69** | 10.42* |
| 12 | Pusa-6A x <br> HUR-105 | 10.00 | 3.45 | 0.00 | 15.38 | 8.00 | 9.09 | -5.25 | 41.18** | 31.86 | 13.29** | 29.62** | 18.78** | 246.67 | 39.62** | 166.83** | 41.85** |
| 13 | Pusa-6A x <br> IET-22202 | 6.67 | -31.03* | -33.33** | -23.08 | 5.67 | -22.73** | -32.89** | 0.00 | 32.06 | 4.34 | 30.44** | 19.54** | 229.33 | 23.52** | 148.08** | 31.89** |
| 14 | Pusa-6A x <br> Susksamarat | 8.00 | -17.24 | -20.00 | -7.69 | 6.44 | -12.14 | -23.69** | 13.71 | 31.95 | 13.61** | 29.98** | 19.11** | 191.11 | 11.98* | 106.73** | 9.90* |
| 15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pusa-6A x } \\ \text { IR-64 } \end{gathered}$ | 11.00 | 13.79 | 10.00 | 26.92 | 9.00 | 22.73** | 6.59 | 58.82** | 29.71 | $5.64 *$ | 20.87** | 10.76** | 137.22 | -19.60** | 48.44** | -21.08** |
| 16 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pusa-6A x } \\ \text { NDR-359 } \end{gathered}$ | 11.67 | 20.69 | 16.67 | 34.62* | 9.67 | 31.82** | 14.49* | 70.59** | 31.77 | 10.68** | 29.25** | 18.44** | 147.22 | $-25.64^{*}$ | 59.26** | -15.33** |
| 17 | Pusa-6A x <br> Pantdhan-12 | 12.00 | 24.14 | 20.00 | 38.46** | 10.00 | 36.36** | 18.44* | 76.47** | 30.63 | -0.08 | 24.61** | 14.19** | 170.33 | -0.20 | 84.26** | -2.04 |
|  | Mean | 8.90 | -10.95 | -10.98 | 2.72 | 6.97 | -8.84 | -17.48 | 22.95 | 30.02 | 1.93 | 22.13 | 11.92 | 206.99 | 16.44 | 123.91 | 19.04 |
|  | Range | $\begin{gathered} 6.67 \text { to } \\ 12.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -31.25 \\ \text { to } 24.14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -33.33 \\ \text { to } 20.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -23.08 \\ \text { to } \\ 38.46 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.33 \\ \text { to } \\ 10.00 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -36.00 \text { to } \\ 36.36 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -36.83 \\ \text { to } 18.44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -5.88 \text { to } \\ 76.47 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.24 \\ \text { to } \\ 33.67 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -16.52 \\ \text { to } \\ 13.61 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.69 \text { to } \\ 36.98 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -5.90 \\ \text { to } \\ 25.53 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -25.64 \text { to } \\ 61.17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48.44 \text { to } \\ 266.71 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -21.08 \text { to } \\ 94.95 \end{gathered}$ |

Contd..

| S. | Characters | Grains panicle ${ }^{-1}$ |  |  |  | Sterile spikelets panicle ${ }^{-1}$ |  |  |  |  | Grain Weight Panicle ${ }^{-1}$ (g) |  |  |  | 1000- Grain Weight (g) |  |  |  | Grain Yield Plant ${ }^{-1}$ (g) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Crosses | Mean | BP | NDR-97 | 6444 | Mean | BP | $\begin{gathered} \text { NDR- } \\ \hline 97 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Arize $6444$ | Mean | BP | NDR-97 | Arize $6444$ | Mean | BP | NDR-97 | Arize $6444$ | Mean | BP | $\begin{gathered} \text { NDR- } \\ 97 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Arize $6444$ |
| 1 | IR-79156A x <br> Akshaydhan | 203.00 | 28.21** | 149.93** | 35.23** | 31.26 | 40.19** | 94.67** | 66.98** | 45.00 | 204.47** | 300.95** | 89.26** | 4.58 | 7.93 | 137.72** | 32.37** | 23.20 | -7.75** | 5.45** | 6.18** |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IR-79156A x } \\ & \text { IR-36 } \\ & \text { IR-79156A } \end{aligned}$ | 118.00 | -22.54** | 45.28** | -21.39 | 22.18 | 3.77 | 38.11** | 18.46** | 31.00 | 16.75 | 176.21** | 30.38* | 2.83 | -28.56** | 46.71** | -18.30** | 24.03 | -6.30** | 9.24** | 9.99** |
| 3 | URG-42 | 197.00 | 25.74** | $142.54{ }^{* *}$ | 31.2 | 20.79 | -1.55 | 29.43** | 11.02 | 13.00 | -19.32 | 15.83 | -45.32** | 3.96 | 11.88* | 105.36** | 14.35* | 20.37 | -11.58** | -7.42** | -6.79** |
| 4 | IR-79156A x <br> Danteshwari | 170.00 | 28.46** | 109.30** | 13.25* | 20.31 | -3.82 | 26.44** | 8.46 | 47.00 | 143.10** | 318.77** | 97.67** | 3.85 | 40.46** | 100.00** | 11.37 | 22.67 | -8.72 ** | 3.03 | 3.74* |
| 5 | IR-79156A x <br> URG-30 | 146.00 | 10.33 | 79.75** | -2.74 | 17.26 | -18.23** | 7.49 | -7.80 | 41.00 | 442.57** | 265.31** | 72.44** | 2.96 | 11.28 | 53.63** | -14.45* | 20.57 | -10.71** | -6.52**- | -5.87** |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IR-79156A x } \\ & \text { BPT-5204 } \\ & \text { Pusa-6A x } \end{aligned}$ | 262.00 | 34.90** | 222.57** | 74.54 | 25.7 | 21.83** | 60.17** | 37.39** | 77.00 | 377.87** | 586.07** | 223.85** | 4.97 | 83.17** | 157.96** | 43.64** | 20.13 | -12.59** | -8.48** | -7.86** |
| 7 | Akshaydhan Pusa-6A x | 190.4 | 20.28** | 134.47* | 26.87 | 27.37 | 22.72** | 70.40** | 46.16** | 34.22 | 131.55** | 204.93 | 43.94** | 4.51 | 6.28 | 134.08** | 30.35** | 21.95 | -12.72 | -0.23 | 0.46 |
| 8 | IR-36 | 153.33 | 0.66 | 88.78** | 2.15 | 22.32 | 3.27 | 39.00** | 19.23** | 41.22 | 55.25** | 267.30** | 73.38** | 3.73 | -5.81 | 93.43** | 7.71 | 24.30 | -5.26** | 10.45** | 11.21** |
| 9 | URG-42 | 196.6 | 25.53* | 142.13 | 31.02 | 20.78 | -3.89 | 29.37 | 10.97 | 30.00 | 86.18** | 167.30** | 26.17 | 4.36 | 23.19** | 126.12** | 25.92** | 22.85 | 9.86** | 3.86* | 4.58** |
| 10 | Pusa-6A x <br> Danteshwari | 157.33 | 17.12* | 93.70** | 4.81 | 22.49 | 4.04 | 40.04** | 20.12** | 51.67 | 167.24** | 360.35** | 117.30** | 3.83 | 22.52** | 98.62** | 10.60 | 24.00 | -3.36* | 9.09** | 9.84** |
| 11 | URG-30 | 162.0 | 20.60 | 99.45 | 7.92 | 31.56 | 45.98** | 96.49** | 68.54 | 30.00 | 297.00** | 167.30 | 26.17 | 3.81 | 21.88** | 97.58** | 10.02 | 23.83 | 17.21** | 8.33** | 9.08** |
| 12 | Pusa-6A x <br> HUR-105 | 192.3 | 42.82 | 136.80 | 28.13 | 34.77 | 60.83 | 116 | 85.6 | 54.33 | 49.54** | 384.11** | 128.52** | 4.35 | 39.27** | 125.78** | 25.72** | 23.85 | 19.05** | 8.41** | 9.15** |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pusa-6A x } \\ & \text { IET-22202 } \end{aligned}$ | 185.89 | 38.03** | 128.86* | 23.83 | 26.16 | 21.00** | 62.87** | 39.70** | 43.44 | 19.57* | 287.08** | 82.71** | 4.86 | 35.66** | 152.08** | 40.37** | 24.90 | -3.30* | 13.18** | 13.96** |
| 14 | Pusa-6A x Susksamarat | 146.44 | 9.01 | 80.30** | -2.44 | 20.23 | -6.43 | 25.94** | 8.03 | 44.67 | 35.35** | 297.98** | 87.86** | 3.57 | 14.30* | 85.29** | 3.18 | 24.20 | $-5.84^{* *}$ | 10.00** | 10.76** |
| 15 | Pusa-6A x <br> IR-64 | 108.4 | -19.27** | 33.51** | -27.76 | 22.73 | 5.15 | 41.53** | 21.40** | 28.78 | 19.90 | 156.40** | 21.03 | 2.56 | -17.93** | 33.04** | -25.92** | 24.25 | 8.99** | 10.23** | 10.98** |
| 16 | Pusa-6A x <br> NDR-359 | 118.2 | -23.34** | 45.55** | -21.24 | 27.44 | 21.75** | 70.88** | 46.57 | 29.00 | -20.18* | 158.39** | 21.97 | 2.98 | -26.05** | 54.67** | -13.87* | 25.25 | 3.91* | 14.77** | 15.56** |
| 17 | Pusa-6A x | 144.0 | 7.20 | 77.29** | -4.07 | 34.49 | 59.54** | 114.74 | 84.19** | 26.33 | -22.30* | 134.63** | 10.75 | 3.48 | 11.31 | 80.45** | 0.48 | 24.40 | -2.98* | 10.91** | 11.67** |
|  | Pantdhan-12 Mean | 167.71 | 14.34 | 106.48 | 11.73 | 25.17 | 16.24 | 56.71 | 34.42 | 39.27 | 116.74 | 249.94 | 65.18 | 3.83 | 14.75 | 98.97 | 10.80 | 23.22 | -1.89 | 5.55 | 6.27 |
|  | Range | $\begin{gathered} 108.44 \\ \text { to } \\ 262.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -23.34 \\ \text { to } 42.82 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.51 \text { to } \\ 222.57 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -27.76 \\ \text { to } \\ 74.54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.26 \\ \text { to } \\ 34.77 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -18.23 \\ \text { to } 60.83 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.49 \text { to } \\ & 116.48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -7.80 \\ \text { to } \\ 85.69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.00 \\ \text { to } \\ 77.00 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -22.30 \text { to } \\ 442.57 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.83 \text { to } \\ & 586.07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -45.32 \text { to } \\ 223.85 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.56 \\ \text { to } \\ 4.97 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -28.56 \\ \text { to } 83.17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.04 \text { to } \\ 157.96 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -25.92 \\ \text { to } 43.64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.13 \\ \text { to } \\ 25.25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -12.72 \\ \text { to } 19.05 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -8.48 \text { to } \\ 14.77 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -7.86 \text { to } \\ 15.56 \end{gathered}$ |

for days to maturity is desirable because short duration varieties are generally preferable and become important at the time of drought. Out of 17 hybrids, 14 crosses showed significant negative heterosis over SH (Arize-6444 Gold). Pusa-6A $\times$ IR-36 ( $-11.90 \%$ ) showed highest negative significant heterosis over SH (Arize-6444 Gold) for days to maturity (Table 2). The hybrids showing negative heterosis for days to maturity using CMS lines have also been reported by Singh et al. (1994), Sharma et al. (2013) and Singh et al. (2013).
The negative significant heterosis for plant height is desirable because dwarf plant stature is essential to develop semi-dwarf high yielding hybrid which is believed to be lodging resistant. The results manifested that four crosses showed significant negative heterosis over SH (Arize-6444 Gold). Among them, Pusa-6A $\times$ Danteshwari ( $-17.36 \%$ ) (Table 2) showed highest negative magnitude of heterosis for this trait. These results were similar to the earlier reports of Khoyumthem et al. (2005), Sharma et al. (2013) and Singh et al. (2013). Higher number of tillers per plant contributes to higher grain yield. In present study, Pusa-6A $\times$ Pantdhan-12 (38.46\%) and Pusa-6A $\times$ NDR-359 ( $34.62 \%$ ) showed positive and significant heterosis over SH (Arize-6444 Gold) (Table 2). These observations were in agreement with the reports of Patil et al. (2003), Sharma et al. (2013) and Singh et al. (2013). Higher number of effective tillers per plant contributes to higher grain yield. Out of 17 hybrids, 3 hybrids possess the significant positive heterosis over BP, 2 over SV (NDR-97) and 7 over SH (Arize-6444 Gold). The crosses Pusa-6A $\times$ Pantdhan-12 (76.47\%), Pusa-6A $\times$ NDR-359 (70.59\%) and Pusa-6A $\times$ IR-64 (58.82\%) were the top heterotic hybrids over SH (Arize-6444 Gold) (Table 2) for number of effective tiller per plant. Sarawgi et al. (2000), Vaithiyalingam and Nadarajan (2010), Sharma et al. (2013) and Singh et al. (2013) also observed highest significant heterosis for effective tiller per plant increases the yield.
Panicle length with positive and significant heterosis may contribute to enhance the number of spikelets / panicle, subsequently boost the grain yield / plant. Out of 17 hybrids, 6 hybrids showed the significant positive heterosis over BP, 16 over SV (NDR-97) and 14 over SH (Arize-6444 Gold). The heterotic hybrids, Pusa-6A $\times$ Susksamarat (13.61\%), Pusa-6A $\times$ HUR-105 (13.29\%) and Pusa-6A $\times$ NDR-359 (10.68\%)
over BP, IR-79156A $\times$ Akshaydhan (SV $=36.98 \%$ and $\mathrm{SH}=25.53 \%$ ), Pusa-6A $\times$ IET- 22202 (SV=30.44\% and $\mathrm{SH}=19.54 \%$ ) and Pusa-6A $\times$ Akshaydhan (SV=30.12\% and SH=19.24\%) over SV (NDR-97) and SH (Arize-6444 Gold) respectively were the best hybrids for panicle length (Table 2). Roy et al. (2009), Sharma et al. (2013) and Singh et al. (2013) have also obtained highly significant positive heterosis for panicle length. Hybrids with positive heterosis for number of spikelets per panicle is desirable. Out of 17 hybrids, 11 hybrids over BP, all 17 over SV (NDR-97) and 12 over SH (Arize-6444 Gold) showed significant positive heterosis. The heterotic hybrid, IR-79156A $\times$ BPT-5204 (BP=61.17\%, SV=266.71\% and SH=94.95\%) (Table 2) was the best hybrid for this trait. These results were in close agreement with earlier reports of Thirumeni and Subramaniam (2000), Sharma et al. (2013) and Singh et al. (2013).

Number of grains per panicle is the major yield attributing character, hence significant positive heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis is desirable. Out of 17 hybrids, 10 hybrids showed the significant positive heterosis over BP, all 17 over SV (NDR-97) and 8 over SH (Arize-6444 Gold). The heterotic hybrid, Pusa-6A $\times$ HUR-105 (42.82\%) over BP, IR$79156 \mathrm{~A} \times$ BPT-5204 (SV=222.57\% and SH=74.54\%) over SV (NDR-97) and SH (Arize 6444 Gold) (Table 2) was found to be the best hybrid for this trait. Similar findings were also reported by Saravanan et al. (2008), Sharma et al. (2012) and Singh et al. (2013). Number of sterile spikelets per panicle is one of the important traits which contribute directly to the yield reduction. The hybrids with negative heterosis are desirable for this trait. Out of 17 hybrids, 2 hybrid, Pusa-6A $\times$ Pantdhan-12 ( $-22.30 \%$ ) and Pusa-6A $\times$ NDR-359 (-20.18\%) showed negative significant heterosis over BP, and IR-79156A $\times$ URG42 ( $-45.32 \%$ ) hybrid showed negative significant heterosis over SH (Arize-6444 Gold) (Table 2). Similar finding were also reported by Saravanan et al. (2008) and Sharma et al. (2013).
The hybrids with positive heterosis for pollen fertility are desirable. In the present study, only IR-79156A $\times$ URG-42 (SV=6.64\% and SH=5.78\%) hybrid showed positive significant heterosis over SV (NDR-97) and SH (Arize-6444 Gold) (Table 2). This is because for the study of heterosis only those hybrids were selected which were exhibiting full fertility. These results were in close agreement
Table 3: Standard Heterosis (\%) of top five high yielding hybrids for yield and yield traits in rice

| S. <br> No. | Hybrids | Days to maturity |  | Plant height |  | Effective tillers per plants |  | Panicle length |  | Grain weight per panicle |  | 1000 Grain weight |  | Grain Yield per plant |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (NDR -97) | (Arize <br> -6444 <br> Gold) | (NDR97) | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Arize } \\ -6444 \text { Gold) } \end{gathered}$ | (NDR97) | (Arize <br> -6444 <br> Gold) | (NDR97) | (Arize <br> -6444 <br> Gold) | (NDR -97) | (Arize <br> -6444 <br> Gold) | $\begin{gathered} \text { (NDR- } \\ 97) \end{gathered}$ | (Arize <br> -6444 <br> Gold) | $\begin{gathered} \text { (NDR } \\ -97) \end{gathered}$ | (Arize <br> -6444 <br> Gold) |
| 1. | Pusa-6A $\times$ <br> HUR-105 | 18.30** | -0.79 | 34.05** | 10.92** | -5.25 | 41.18** | 29.62** | 18.78** | 125.78** | 25.72** | 8.41** | 9.15** | 116.48** | 85.69** |
| 2. | Pusa-6A $\times$ <br> Pantdhan-12 | 12.62** | -5.56 ** | 23.81** | 2.44 | 18.44* | 76.47** | 24.61** | 14.19** | 80.45** | 0.48 | 10.91** | 11.67** | 114.74** | 84.19** |
| 3. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pusa-6A× } \\ \text { URG-30 } \end{gathered}$ | 6.31 ** | -10.85** | 31.08** | 8.46** | -1.30 | 47.06** | 17.18** | 7.38* | 97.58** | 10.02 | 8.33** | 9.08** | 96.49** | 68.54** |
| 4. | IR-79156A $\times$ <br> Akshaydhan | 18.30** | -0.79 | 40.14** | 15.96** | -17.09* | 23.53* | 36.98** | 25.53** | 137.72** | 32.37** | $5.45 * *$ | 6.18** | 94.67** | 66.98** |
| 5. | Pusa-6A $\times$ <br> NDR-359 | 15.77** | -2.91 ** | 26.23** | 4.45 | 14.49* | 70.59** | 29.25** | 18.44** | 54.67** | -13.87* | 14.77** | 15.56** | 70.88** | 46.57** |

[^0]with the reports of Thirumeni and Subramaniam (2000), Sharma et al. (2013) and Singh et al. (2013). In general, hybrids obtained by using CMS lines may not be having better fertility as the normal inbreds. For spikelet fertility positive heterosis is desirable. Among all the hybrids, Pusa-6A $\times$ Pantdhan- 12 (7.28\%) over BP, IR-79156A $\times$ URG-42 (SV $=6.77 \%$ and SH=8.66\%) over both SV (NDR-97) and SH (Arize-6444 Gold) showed positive significant heterosis (Table 2). This is very similar to the result observed for the pollen fertility. These finding were also reported by Thirumeni and Subramaniam (2000), Sharma et al. (2013) and Singh et al. (2013).

The hybrids with positive heterosis are desirable for grain weight per panicle. Out of 17 hybrids, 9 hybrids showed the significant positive heterosis over BP, all 17 over SV (NDR-97) and 7 over SH (Arize-6444 Gold). The heterotic hybrid, IR-79156A $\times$ BPT-5204 ( $83.17 \%, \mathrm{SV}=157.96 \%$ and $\mathrm{SH}=43.64 \%$ ) was found to be best over BP, SV (NDR-97) and SH (Arize-6444 Gold) (Table 2). Similar findings were also reported by Virmani et al. (1981), Tseng and Huang (1987) and Sharma et al. (2013). For 1000Grain weight positive heterosis is desirable. In the present study, 5 hybrids showed the significant positive heterosis over BP, 12 over SV (NDR-97) and 13 over SH (Arize- 6444 Gold). The hybrids Pusa-6A $\times$ HUR-105 (19.05\%) over BP and Pusa-6A $\times$ NDR-359 (SV=14.77\% and SH=15.56\%) over SV and SH were the best hybrids for this trait (Table 2). Tiwari et al. (2011), Gopalakrishnan and Kumar (2013), Sharma et al. (2013) and Singh et al. (2013) also reported highly significant positive heterosis for 1000 grain weight.
Heterosis for grain yield/plant in positive direction is desirable as higher grain yield is the main objective for almost all the breeding programmes. Virmani et al. (1981) suggested that the yield advantage of $20 \%$ to $30 \%$ over best available standard variety should be sufficient to encourage farmers for adapting the hybrid rice varieties. In the present investigation, Out of 17 hybrids, 8 hybrids showed the significant positive heterosis over BP, 16 over SV (NDR-97) and 12 over SH (Arize-6444 Gold).
The heterotic hybrids Pusa-6A $\times$ HUR-105 ( $\mathrm{BP}=60.83 \%$, $\mathrm{SV}=116.48 \%$ and $\mathrm{SH}=85.69 \%$ ), Pusa$6 \mathrm{~A} \times$ Pantdhan-12 ( $\mathrm{BP}=59.54 \%, \mathrm{SV}=114.74 \%$ and SH=84.19\%), Pusa-6A $\times$ URG-30 ( $\mathrm{BP}=45.98 \%$, $\mathrm{SV}=96.49 \%$ and $\mathrm{SH}=68.54 \%), \mathrm{IR}-79156 \mathrm{~A} \times$

Akshaydhan ( $\mathrm{BP}=40.19 \%, \mathrm{SV}=94.67 \%$ and SH=66.98\%) and Pusa-6A $\times$ NDR-359 (BP=21.75\%, $\mathrm{SV}=70.88 \%$ and $\mathrm{SH}=46.57 \%$ ) (Table 3) were the best five hybrids for grain yield/plant. The results obtained from present study indicated that the yield heterosis was mainly influenced by number of effective tiller / plant, panicle length, number of spikelets /panicle, number of grains/panicle, and 1000-grain weight. These results were in agreement of earlier results of Bhave et al. (2002), Singh et al. (2013) and Latha et al. (2013) observed the similar results.
Among the top five hybrids for yield, the hybrids namely, Pusa-6A $\times$ HUR-105 was highest yielder ( $85.69 \%$ ), and exhibited significant and desirable heterosis for days to maturity ( $-0.79 \%$ ), panicle length ( $18.78 \%$ ), grains weight per panicle ( $25.72 \%$ ) and 1000 grain weight ( $9.15 \%$ ) (Table 3). Remaining four promising hybrids recorded significant and desirable standard heterosis for yield components namely, days to maturity, plant height ( cm ), number of tillers per plant, 1000 grain weight, panicle length (cm) and grain weight/ panicle. It is observed that the standard heterosis in the present set of desirable hybrids was highly influenced by traits like number of effective tillers per plant, 1000 grain weight, grains /panicle and panicle length (cm). Grain yield per se is a complex heritable character which is an end product of multiplicative interaction of various yield components and hence heterosis for yield may be attributed to heterosis of individual yield components or alternatively due to multiplicative effects of component characters.

## Conclusion

On the basis of objective to identify best suitable hybrids for the rainfed condition it may be concluded that the hybrids which have given higher yield should posses short maturity duration viz., Pusa 6A $\times$ URG-30 and Pusa 6A $\times$ IET-22202 may further be tested on large scale. However, the highest yielding hybrids in the rainfed condition of present investigation having not more than 125 days to maturity viz., Pusa 6A $\times$ HUR-105 (125 days maturity and 34.77 g per plant yield), Pusa $6 \mathrm{~A} \times$ Pantdhan-12 (119 days maturity and 34.49 g per plant yield) and IR 79156A $\times$ Akshaydhan (125 days maturity and 31.26 g per plant yield) may also be promoted in rainfed areas for large scale
testing as these hybrids are much better than the standard checks NDR-97 (16.06 g per plant yield) and Arize- 6444 Gold ( 18.72 g per plant yield). It may be concluded that the findings of the present investigation are much helpful to enhance the production and productivity of rice in drought affected areas of eastern Uttar Pradesh.
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[^0]:    *, **: significant at $5 \%$ and $1 \%$ respectively

