Journal of Animal Research: v.15 n.04, p. 127-131. August 2025

**DOI:** 10.30954/2277-940X.04.2025.2

# A Critical Analysis of Moral and Legal Concerns in Animal Semen Freezing and Assisted Reproduction

**Amrit Kaur Bansal**

*Department of Zoology, Mata Ganga Khalsa College, Manji Sahib, Kottan, Ludhiana, Panjab University, Punjab, INDIA*

*Corresponding author: AK Bansal; E-mail: bansal2amrit@gmail.com*

**Received:** 07 June, 2025 **Revised:** 09 July, 2025 **Accepted:** 20 July,2025

**ABSTRACT**

There is little doubt that the advances in cryobiology have contributed immensely to prolong preservation of genome and treatment options available for the animals experiencing infertility. However, along with these advances a number of specific ethical problems have arisen. The storage of excess embryos has also proved to be a complex issue. Difficulties can arise when the animals are not provided with all the basic facilities, handle without carefully and torture to give sample. Although the scientific concept behind the sperm cryopreservation might look simple, the procedure itself is very complex and it requires highly advanced sperm banking and cryopreservation facilities with proper equipment to handle semen collection, freezing and storage. Surprisingly, when it comes to complexity, the legal aspect of cryopreservation is no different than its scientific aspect in term of superficial simplicity and underlying complexity. However in contrast to firm foundation that currently underlies sperm cryopreservation, the legal considerations are uncertain, sometimes vague, and still in a premature stage. Little literature is availably addressing the legal and ethical aspects on semen cryopreservation technology. This paper evaluates some of the most visible and challenging topics in the field of Reproductive Technology and outlines the ethical, legal, and social challenges created by semen cryopreservation techniques.

**HIGHLIGHTS**

- Develop better cryopreservation and thawing methods.
- Standardize international welfare laws and training.
- Promote collaborative ethical frameworks for responsible use.

**Keywords:** Semen, assisted reproduction, bioethics, cryopreservation, cryo -damage

Ethics is a philosophical discipline that examines what is morally right or wrong, good or bad, and how individuals and communities ought to behave. It governs the moral life within society (Beauchamp and Childress, 2009). Bioethics today addresses complex ethical challenges emerging from rapid advancements in biology and medicine—especially when technological capabilities outstrip existing moral or regulatory frameworks. In the context of animal reproduction, bioethical scrutiny becomes critical when addressing issues like animal welfare, autonomy, and reproductive rights (Rollin, 2006). These concerns are especially relevant as biotechnological interventions in animal breeding become more advanced and widespread.

## Assisted reproductive techniques (ARTs)

Assisted Reproductive Techniques include a range of technologies such as semen cryopreservation, artificial insemination (AI), *in vitro* fertilization (IVF), embryo transfer (ET), and the creation of transgenic animals. These interventions can greatly benefit agricultural productivity and species conservation, but also raise ethical and welfare concerns when misused or poorly regulated (Hansen, 2014; Hafez & Hafez, 2000).

**How to cite this article:** Bansal, A.K. (2025). A Critical Analysis of Moral and Legal Concerns in Animal Semen Freezing and Assisted Reproduction. *J. Anim. Res.,* **15**(04): 127-131.

**Source of Support:** None; **Conflict of Interest:** None

**Controversial aspects in bioethics related to animal research**

1. Death and dying: The use of terminal animals in research raises ethical concerns regarding humane euthanasia and quality of life (AVMA, 2020).
2. Issues in Animal Reproduction: The manipulation of reproductive cycles and gametes challenges natural biological processes and may infringe on animal autonomy (Yeates, 2010).
3. Animal Cloning: Raises questions of identity, suffering, and high failure rates (Wilmut *et al.*, 1997).
4. Biotechnology of Germ Plasm: Ethical concerns over ownership of genetic resources and long-term biodiversity impacts (FAO, 2012).
5. Assisted Reproductive Techniques (ARTs): Many ARTs involve procedures that can cause physical or psychological stress if not conducted under strict welfare guidelines (CPCSEA, 2001; Buller *et al.*, 2020).

**Common ARTs with ethical concerns include:**

- ❒ Semen Cryopreservation
- ❒ Artificial Insemination (AI)
- ❒ Egg Implantation
- ❒ In Vitro Fertilization (IVF)
- ❒ Embryo Transfer (ET)
- ❒ Blastocyst Implantation (BI)
- ❒ Transgenic Animals

The genetic improvement of agriculturally important species and disease control remain key to building a sustainable agri-food industry. Among the ARTs, Artificial Insemination (AI) and semen cryopreservation stand out as foundational tools driving advancements in modern animal production (Hafez and Hafez, 2000).

**Semen cryopreservation**

Processing and freezing of semen is carried out using liquid nitrogen at -196°C, which helps prolong the lifespan of germ plasm (Watson, 2000). For agriculturally important animals—particularly dairy cattle—semen cryopreservation has become a well-established industry worldwide (Rodriguez-Martinez, 2012). Additionally, genome resource banking plays a key role in preserving the biodiversity of endangered species or valuable transgenic lines (Wildt *et al.*, 1997). However, for many mammalian species, effective semen cryopreservation remains challenging, as a large number of sperm cells lose fertility post-thawing. This infertility is often caused by negligence during sample collection, processing, or poor freezing techniques, which results in structural and functional damage to sperm cells (Purdy, 2006).

**Artificial insemination (AI)**

Artificial Insemination (AI) is a reproductive biotechnology that involves placing semen directly into the female reproductive tract without natural mating (Foote, 2002). AI has become widespread due to the ease of importing and exporting frozen semen. Semen may be used fresh, cooled for short-term storage, or frozen for long-term preservation, depending on the species and logistics (Evans & Maxwell, 1987). However, ethical concerns have been raised regarding animal suffering during semen collection, where animals—especially bulls and boars—may be subjected to electroejaculation or physical restraint, leading to physical and psychological stress (Rollin, 2006).

**Characteristics of artificial insemination and semen cryopreservation**

Artificial Insemination (AI) and Semen Cryopreservation represent first-generation biotechnological advancements that have contributed significantly to genetic improvement in livestock, particularly in dairy bulls. Through these technologies, a single ejaculate can be used to inseminate multiple females, thereby enhancing genetic dissemination. The long-term freezing of semen using cryopreservation enables this widespread use, facilitating the global exchange of superior genetic material (Foote, 2002; Watson, 2000).

Over the past 50 years, major advances in reproductive biology and biotechnology have led to the expanded use—and potential misuse—of AI. This raises numerous ethical and legal concerns, particularly as national laws

and ethical frameworks differ widely in regulating AI in animals (Rollin, 2006). This chapter aims to review both the technical procedures and the ethical implications associated with AI and semen cryopreservation.

**Advantages of artificial insemination (AI) and semen cryopreservation**

- Use of non-breeding males or females due to anatomical or pathological issues.
- Helps overcome behavioral or psychological breeding resistance in animals.
- Enables splitting of a single ejaculate to breed multiple females.
- Supports line breeding for maintaining desirable traits.
- Highly efficient in genetic improvement programs.
- Allows disease control by reducing physical contact and enabling semen treatment.
- Permits semen quality evaluation before insemination.
- Offers a rapid, convenient method for breeding.
- Through cryopreservation, allows long-term conservation of valuable genetic material.
- Enables semen transport across regions without moving animals.
- Reduces stress and disease risk associated with animal transport.
- Permits use of semen even after the donor's death.
- Essential for conservation programs, allowing use of cryopreserved semen in combination with IVF and ET for endangered species (Wildt *et al.*, 1997; Hansen, 2014).

**Disadvantages of artificial insemination (AI) and cryopreservation**

- May cause physical or psychological trauma to animals during the semen collection or AI process.
- Possibility of introducing heritable diseases or genetic abnormalities.
- Risks of overusing a particular male, reducing genetic diversity.
- May lead to confusion in parentage and genetic lineage if proper records are not maintained (Rollin, 2006).

**CPCSEA Guidelines for welfare of animals during semen collection and artificial insemination procedures**

In India, a large number of animals are used for various types of experimentation, including reproductive biotechnologies such as Artificial Insemination (AI) and semen cryopreservation. Recognizing the ethical, legal, and biological sensitivities involved, the Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of Experiments on Animals (CPCSEA) has issued comprehensive guidelines for the care and use of animals in research and breeding facilities (CPCSEA, 2001; MoEFCC, 2018). These guidelines ensure that animal welfare is protected during procedures like semen collection. Key recommendations are summarized below:

**1. *Quarantine, Stabilization and Separation***

Quarantine involves isolating newly arrived animals from those already housed in the facility to assess their health and microbial status. This minimizes the risk of introducing infectious agents into established colonies.

- For small laboratory animals, minimum one-week quarantine is recommended.
- For larger animals such as dogs, cats, and non-human primates, the quarantine period should be at least six weeks (CPCSEA, 2001).

**2. *Surveillance, Diagnosis, Treatment and Disease Control***

Animals must be monitored daily for signs of illness, distress, or injury. This is especially critical during post-operative care or sample collection. Effective protocols for disease surveillance, diagnosis, and treatment should be in place. Immediate veterinary care must be provided in case of any abnormalities (MoEFCC, 2018).

### 3. *Physical Restraint*

Physical restraint should be minimally invasive, used only when necessary for procedures such as examination, semen collection or sample withdrawal. Restraint methods must:

- ❒ Be appropriate to the animal's size and temperament.
- ❒ Be designed to minimize stress and avoid injury.
- ❒ Be operated by trained personnel (CPCSEA, 2001; AAALAC, 2011).

### 4. *Physical Facilities and Environmental Conditions for Semen Collection*

During semen collection for AI and cryopreservation, specific physical and environmental conditions are essential for both animal safety and sample quality:

1. The semen collection area must be clean, open, and well-ventilated.
2. Equipment such as the Artificial Vagina (AV) and sample containers must be sterilized and properly maintained.
3. Animal feed must be uncontaminated and provide adequate nutritional value (FAO, 2012).
4. The area should be free from noise and stress-inducing disturbances.
5. Animals must be given regular exercise to enhance reproductive performance (Hafez & Hafez, 2000).
6. Only trained staff should handle animals during collection to ensure both efficiency and animal welfare (CPCSEA, 2001; NABL, 2020).

## DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Despite the technical advancements in Artificial Insemination (AI) and semen cryopreservation, the ethical and legal landscape remains fragmented and often reactive rather than proactive (Buller *et al.*, 2020; Yeates, 2010). Several core challenges continue to limit the responsible and humane application of these technologies:

- ❒ Cryodamage significantly limits the efficacy of semen cryopreservation and raises serious animal welfare concerns due to reduced fertility and the need for repeated procedures (Watson, 2000; Holt, 2000).
- ❒ Welfare standards vary widely between countries and are still lacking in many regions, creating inconsistencies in practice and enforcement (FAO, 2012; CPCSEA, 2001).
- ❒ Legal inconsistencies hinder accountability and open the door to potential exploitation in under-regulated regions (Rollin, 2006).
- ❒ Commercial pressures, such as the demand for sexed semen, may override animal welfare considerations and lead to misuse or ethical compromise (Van Wagtendonk-De Leeuw *et al.*, 2000; Hansen, 2014).

However, ongoing scientific and policy research offers potential solutions:

- ❒ Development of enhanced cryopreservation protocols, such as the use of antioxidants and membrane protectants.
- ❒ Improved thawing techniques to reduce cryoinjury and increase post-thaw viability (Watson, 2000).
- ❒ Establishment and refinement of species-specific animal welfare guidelines (CPCSEA, 2001).
- ❒ Emerging calls for international harmonization of regulatory frameworks to ensure accountability and humane practices globally (FAO, 2012; AAALAC, 2011).

Ethical frameworks for AI and semen cryopreservation must be built upon veterinary leadership, scientific evidence, and participation from breeders and policymakers to ensure sustainability and humane treatment of animals (Yeates, 2010).

## CONCLUSION

Artificial insemination and semen cryopreservation are powerful tools in agriculture and wildlife conservation, but they also involve ethical challenges and legal uncertainties. Key concerns such as cryodamage, animal welfare, and inconsistent regulations across regions need urgent attention (Hafez & Hafez, 2000). Ensuring ethical and responsible use of these technologies requires

strong collaboration among veterinary professionals, animal scientists, and policymakers to promote innovation while safeguarding welfare and maintaining global accountability (Buller *et al.*, 2020). Moreover, more species-specific research is essential to improve cryopreservation techniques by considering the biological differences among animals. It is also important to clearly define clinical decision-making processes, expand knowledge about all artificial insemination methods, and include training, education, and ethics as core parts of veterinary and breeding practices.

## REFERENCES


AAALAC International 2011. Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (8th Ed.). National Research Council, National Academies Press.

AVMA. 2020. *AVMA* Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals: 2020 *Edition*. American Veterinary Medical Association.

Beauchamp, T.L. and Childress, J.F. 2009. Principles of Biomedical Ethics (6th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Buller, H., Blokhuis, H., Jensen, P and Keeling L. 2020. Towards Farm Animal Welfare and Sustainability. *Animals*, **10**(11): 2058.

CPCSEA. 2001. *Guidelines for Laboratory Animal Facility*. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India.

Evans, G. and Maxwell, W.M.C. 1987. *Salamon's Artificial Insemination of Sheep and Goats*. Butterworths.

FAO. 2012. Cryoconservation of Animal Genetic Resources. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Foote, R.H. 2002. The history of artificial insemination: Selected notes and notables. *J. Anim. Sci.*, **80(**1): 1–10.

Hafez, E.S.E. and Hafez, B. 2000. Reproduction in Farm Animals (7th ed.). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Hansen, P.J. 2014. Current and future assisted reproductive technologies for mammalian farm animals. *Adv. Experim. Med. Biol.*, **752**: 1–22.

Holt, W.V. 2000. Basic aspects of frozen storage of semen. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.*, **62**(1–3): 3–22.

MoEFCC 2018. Guidelines on Humane Care and Use of Animals in Research and Education. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India.

NABL 2020. National Accreditation Board for Testing and Calibration Laboratories: Guidelines for Veterinary Laboratory Practices.

Potter, V.R. 1971. Bioethics: Bridge to the Future. Prentice-Hall.

Purdy, P.H. 2006. A review on goat sperm cryopreservation. *Small Rumin. Res.*, **63**(3): 215–225.

Rodriguez-Martinez, H. 2012. Semen evaluation and handling: emerging techniques and future development. *Anim. Reprod.,* **9**: 150–161.

Rollin, B.E. 2006. The regulation of animal research and the emergence of animal ethics: a conceptual history. *Theo. Med. Bioeth*., **27**(4): 285-304.

Rollin, B.E. 2006. *Science and Ethics*. Cambridge University Press.

Van Wagtendonk-De Leeuw, A.M. *et al.* 2000. Effects of different reproduction techniques on health and welfare of bovine offspring. *Theriogenology,* **53**(2): 575–597.

Watson, P.F. 2000. The causes of reduced fertility with cryopreserved semen. *Anim. Reprod. Sci*., **60–61**: 481–492.

Wildt, D.E., Rall, W.F., Critser, J.K., Monfort, S.L. and Seal, U.S. 1997. Genome resource banks: living collections for biodiversity conservation. *BioSci.,* **47**(11): 689–698.

Wilmut, I., Schnieke, A.E., McWhir, J., Kind, A.J. and Campbell, K.H.S. 1997. Viable offspring derived from fetal and adult mammalian cells. *Nature*, **385**(6619): 810–813.

Yeates, J.W. 2010. Animal welfare in veterinary practice: ethical issues and practical applications. *J. Small Anim. Pract*., **51**(8): 418–424.